

TSF Registry FAQ

June 25, 2026

Disclaimer

This document and the information contained herein is provided for informational purposes only. The IESO has prepared this document based on information currently available to the IESO and reasonable assumptions associated therewith. The IESO provides no guarantee, representation, or warranty, express or implied, with respect to any statement or information contained herein and disclaims any liability in connection therewith. The IESO undertakes no obligation to revise or update any information contained in this document as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In the event there is any conflict or inconsistency between this document and the IESO market rules, any IESO contract, any legislation or regulation, or any request for proposals or other procurement document, the terms in the market rules, or the subject contract, legislation, regulation, or procurement document, as applicable, govern.

Document Change History

Version	Reason for Change	Date
1	N/A	August 26, 2025
2	Address questions related to Registry application submission; reflect updates to the Registry Rules to allow an Applicant to rely on multiple Designated Affiliates to satisfy the Organizational Experience requirements in s. 2.2; and provide clarity on which jurisdictions the Applicant must submit insolvency searches for.	March 18, 2026
2.1	Updates based on applicant questions and responses	April 28, 2026
3	Add an organizational experience option for underwater high-voltage direct current (HVDC) transmission experience	June 25, 2026

Frequently Asked Questions

All capitalized terms not defined herein have the meanings given to them in the TSF Registry Rules (the “**Registry Rules**”).

The following questions have been submitted to the IESO via a number of channels, including via the [TSF@ieso.ca and engagement@ieso.ca] inbox and through stakeholder engagement feedback. This document will be updated on an ongoing basis with questions and responses pertaining to the Registry Rules.

1. Submission of Application Packages

1.1 Is there a preferred format for submitting application exhibits?

The IESO remains flexible regarding the application format and has no preference as to document type, or whether exhibits are submitted as a single document (excluding Exhibit A – Workbook) or as separate documents. Please ensure all exhibits are clearly named and easily identifiable.

1.2 Is there a target date for closing this Application Window? How much notice will the IESO provide before closing the Application Window, and where will it be posted?

At this time the IESO has not set a target date for closing the first Application Window, however, the IESO anticipates closing the Application Window in advance of the launch of the Toronto Third Line Request for Proposals (“**TTL RFP**”), currently targeted for mid-2027. The IESO strongly encourages prospective registrants to apply early.

To support early registration during the first Application Window, the IESO plans to wait to begin the first Renewal Window until two years following the initial Application Window’s closing date, so that all Registrants that registered during the initial Application Window will be required to renew their registrations at the same time, regardless of the date their registration was confirmed.

Prior to closing the initial Application Window, the IESO will post a notice on its website indicating the closing date. The IESO currently anticipates providing a minimum of 60 days’ notice.

1.3 Do I need to provide the Non-Exclusivity Attestation Form?

Yes, the Non-Exclusivity Attestation Form is required because the Toronto Third Line (“**TTL**”) project was announced on the [IESO’s website](#) on January, 28, 2026. Please include the completed form in your Application Package.

1.4 Does the statutory declaration included in the application require an apostille to certify the notary’s signature?

No, the IESO does not require an apostille for the TSF Registry Application.

1.5 Do the Applicant Primary and Secondary Contacts need to be employees of the Applicant, or can they be employees of either the Applicant or the Designated Affiliate(s) or another entity?

The Registry Rules do not contain a requirement that the Primary Contact and Secondary Contact are employees of the Applicant or of a Designated Affiliate. The individuals listed as Primary Contact and Secondary Contact will be used by the IESO for future correspondence related to the Registry.

2. Organizational Experience

2.1 Can the Applicant rely on more than one Designated Affiliate to meet the organizational experience requirements in Section 2.2(a)(i) and 2.2(a)(ii) of the Registry Rules?

Section 2.2(a)(i) and 2.2(a)(ii) of the Registry Rules have been amended to state: “The Applicant, one or more Designated Affiliates of such Applicant, or in combination the Applicant and one or more Designated Affiliates of such Applicant, must have...”. The Prescribed Forms have also been amended to make this explicit. As a result, the Applicant must ensure the following:

- each entity that the Applicant seeks to rely on must meet the definition of “Designated Affiliate” under the Registry Rules, as demonstrated by an organizational chart showing the relationship of the Applicant to the entity, submitted as Exhibit B to the Application Form;
- the financial strength requirement in Section 2.2(b)(i)A is met by the Applicant on its own, or by a combination of the Applicant and only one Designated Affiliate that is either relied upon to demonstrate compliance with Section 2.2(a)(i) or 2.2(a)(ii), or Controls the Applicant, and

audited financial statements are provided as Exhibit D of the Application Form in respect of the Applicant and such Designated Affiliate selected; and

- insolvency searches are submitted as Exhibit C to the Application Form in respect of the Applicant and the single Designated Affiliate it seeks to rely on to demonstrate compliance with Section 2.2(b)(i) A of the Registry Rules.

2.2 How do I demonstrate that the Applicant or a Designated Affiliate meets the organizational experience requirements in Section 2.2(a)(i) and 2.2(a)(ii) of the Registry Rules?

In the workbook, clearly describe how the Applicant or a Designated Affiliate performed the Required Competency for each Qualifying Project. A Designated Affiliate is not permitted to rely on the experience of a third entity in demonstrating its performance of a Required Competency. The IESO must be able to determine from the information provided that the Applicant or a Designated Affiliate (as applicable) had an interest in the Qualifying Project at the time that it performed the Required Competency.

- The description must illustrate how each Required Competency was performed by the Applicant or Designated Affiliate, as applicable, with reference to each of the activities listed in the definition for the relevant Required Competency. For example, for “Developing”, the description must indicate how the Applicant or Designated Affiliate, as applicable, planned, designed, scheduled, engineered and procured materials, engaged in community and municipal consultations, obtained site access, obtained regulatory and environmental approvals and other permits necessary for construction for the relevant Qualifying Project.
- Use specific, action-oriented language (e.g., decisions made, deliverables produced, contracts held, financing arranged, permits obtained, assets owned/operated, responsibilities under agreements).
- If using terms such as “engaged,” “supported,” or “oversaw” that can be vague or ambiguous, please specify what that role entailed and how it demonstrates that the Applicant or Designated Affiliate, as applicable, performed the Required Competency.
- Provide enough context (timing, role, scope) for the IESO to assess compliance. If the Applicant’s or Designated Affiliate’s interest in a Qualifying Project changed over time, include

relevant dates and information to confirm exactly what the Applicant's or Designated Affiliate's role was at the relevant time that the Required Competency was performed.

2.3 Can the organizational experience requirements in Section 2.2(a)(i) and 2.2(a)(ii) of the Registry Rules be satisfied by relying on the experience of one or more individual team members?

No, the requirements in Section 2.2(a)(i) and 2.2(a)(ii) of the Registry Rules cannot be satisfied by relying on the experience of individual team members; the IESO is only evaluating organizational experience to determine Registry eligibility.

The IESO is considering whether to allow some of the experience requirements in the TTL RFP to be satisfied at the team member level. To learn more about the TTL RFP and provide feedback on this and other issues, please tune into future TTL engagements and submit comments to [TSF@ieso.ca].

2.4 What happens if the Applicant or the Designated Affiliate, as applicable, acquired a late interest in a Qualifying Project such that it performed some, but not all, of the functions listed in Section 2.2(a)(i) or 2.2(a)(ii) of the Registry Rules in respect of that Project?

Section 2.2(a) of the Registry Rules is amended to state: "If the Applicant or Designated Affiliates of the Applicant, as applicable, (A) acquired its initial interest in a Qualifying Project after the initiation of any Required Competency or (B) disposed of its full interest in a Qualifying Project prior to the completion of any Required Competency, then in its Application Package the Applicant must describe (C) the date an initial interest in a Qualifying Project was acquired or the full interest in the Qualifying Project was sold, as applicable, and (D) the Required Competencies that was completed without the involvement the Applicant or Designated Affiliates of the Applicant, as applicable."

The experience required under Section 2.2(a)(i) of the Registry Rules can be amassed over more than two Qualifying AC Projects if necessary. If an Applicant or Designated Affiliates, as applicable, acquired a late interest in a Qualifying AC Project such that it performed some, but not all, of the Required AC Competencies, then the Applicant must demonstrate that the missing Required AC Competencies were met in respect of a minimum of two other Qualifying AC Projects, either by the same or a different Designated Affiliate.

Similarly, experience required under Section 2.2(a)(ii) of the Registry Rules can be amassed over more than one Qualifying HVDC Projects if necessary. If an Applicant or Designated Affiliates, as

applicable, acquired a late interest in a Qualifying HVDC Project such that it performed some, but not all, of the Required HVDC Competencies, then the Applicant must demonstrate that the missing Required HVDC Competencies were met in respect of a minimum of one other Qualifying HVDC Project, either by the same or a different Designated Affiliate.

2.5 Does the interest held by the Applicant or any Designated Affiliate in a Qualifying Project need to be a controlling operating interest (e.g., as a General Partner), or would a majority economic interest under a partnership suffice?

The requirement under Section 2.2(a)(i) of the Registry Rules is that the Applicant or a Designated Affiliate must have performed the function of "owning and Operating for at least 5 years post Commercial Operation Date". In the 'Note' under this section, it is specified that that the "Applicant or Designated Affiliate of the Applicant, as applicable, must have possessed an interest in the Qualifying Project at the time the relevant Required Competency was undertaken". The Registry Rules do not contain a requirement that the Applicant or Designated Affiliate have a controlling interest.

In the case of the Required Competency listed under Section 2.2(a)(i)(D) of owning and Operating the Qualifying Project for at least five (5) years post Commercial Operation Date, where the Qualifying Project has been owned during the applicable operating years by a limited partnership, it is that named limited partnership itself that meets the Required Competency and no other Person (i.e., not the General Partner or limited partners). The Applicant or Designated Affiliate must also demonstrate that it completed the relevant competency as defined under "Operating".

2.6 As it relates to the entity's interest in the Qualifying Project, is a direct interest required, or would an indirect interest be acceptable?

The requirement under Section 2.2(a)(i) and 2.2(a)(ii) of the Registry Rules is that the Applicant or a Designated Affiliate must have performed each Required Competency, in respect of a Qualifying AC Project or Qualifying HVDC Project, as applicable, in which it holds an interest. As noted under question 2.2 above, an Applicant or Designated Affiliate is not permitted to rely on the experience of a third entity in demonstrating its performance of a Required Competency.

With respect to the Required Competency listed under Section 2.2(a)(i)(D) of "owning [...] for at least 5 years post Commercial Operation Date", the entity that is performing this Required Competency must establish in the Workbook ("Required Competencies" tab, Item 201) that they were the entity that owned the Qualifying Project.

2.7 What is the meaning of the terms “upgrade,” “redevelopment,” and “expansion” as they relate to the definition of a “New Build” Project?

These terms refer collectively to work involving the improvement or modification of any existing physical transmission assets that are already owned and operated by an existing transmitter. This may range from an incremental improvement, such as re-conductoring on existing structures, to a more significant improvement, including the full rebuild of an existing asset. However, and for greater certainty, the “twinning” of an existing transmission asset with all new infrastructure (even if within an existing right-of-way) would constitute a “New Build” in respect of the incremental, twinned line.

2.8 Would a new project constructed within an existing right-of-way would satisfy the New Build and greenfield requirements?

A new project constructed within an existing right-of-way would satisfy the New Build and greenfield requirements for a Qualifying Project set out in Section 2.2(a)(i) and 2.2(a)(ii) of the Registry Rules if the project would not involve an upgrade, redevelopment or expansion to existing assets (as interpreted in accordance with the answer to question 2.7 above), but rather the development of entirely new infrastructure that makes use of some existing land rights. Please note that the project must also meet the remaining requirements of the definition of a Qualifying Project in section 2.2(a)(i) or 2.2(a)(ii) of the Registry Rules in order to be relied on to demonstrate the Applicant’s organizational experience.

2.9 Are offshore wind projects acceptable?

Experience in respect of offshore wind export cables, interconnectors, or offshore grid links may make an Applicant eligible to be registered on the Registry, provided that the Transmission Project meets the definition of a Qualifying HVDC Project in section 2.2(a)(ii) are met.

3. Financial Strength

3.1 Which jurisdictions should Applicants submit insolvency searches from in Exhibit C to the Application Form?

Section 2.2(b)(i)(B) of the Registry Rules is amended to state: “each of the Applicant and the single Designated Affiliate of the Applicant relied upon to demonstrate compliance with Section 2.2(b)(i)(A), if applicable, must not have a history in the past five (5) years (prior to the submission date of the

Applicant's Application Package) of any proceeding under any Insolvency Legislation or regulatory enforcement in which the Ontario Energy Board or an equivalent body (a) ordered it to surrender possession and control of its business or (b) suspended its transmission license."

Exhibit C to the Application Form requires the Applicant to submit evidence of the above searches in respect of the Applicant and the single Designated Affiliate on whom the Applicant relies on to demonstrate compliance with Section 2.2(b)(i)A of the Registry Rules, if applicable. The IESO requires searches to be submitted for each jurisdiction in which the Applicant or such Designated Affiliate, if applicable, has operated within the past five (5) years of the submission date of the Applicant's Application Package.