

Feedback Form

Regional Electricity Planning in the South Georgian Bay/Muskoka Region – July 27, 2026

Feedback Provided by:

Name: David Pasieka

Title: CEO

Organization: ECFN Business Ventures

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: August 10 2026

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the [South Georgian Bay/Muskoka engagement webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback on the scoping assessment report. A copy of the report and a narrated video presentation can be accessed from the [engagement web page](#).

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by August 10, 2026.

Topic	Feedback
What additional information, if any, should be incorporated in the proposed scenarios? How can the proposed scenarios best	There are a large number of Indigenous Communities that are potentially affected by this Regional Planning initiative. It is not clear how the input from these communities is

Topic	Feedback
capture the range and uncertainty of growth potential while informing near-term infrastructure investments?	incorporated into the plan. Some communities have capacity funding from previous IESO initiatives but the 2026 plan needs to be rolled out to effectively ensure that these communities are represented. What was the process to alert each of the potentially affected communities to register for this webinar? Also what is the process that the LDC's used to solicit load planning data from Indigenous communities.
What areas of concern or interest about electricity should be considered as part of the planning process?	1) Service Quality: From Indigenous communities within the ECFN service territory (Barrie to Sudbury) there are a number of Quality issues that are affecting both the large scale infrastructure (Water Pumps) and individual homes (power surges damaging equipment). How is this being addressed in the plan? 2) Service Resiliency: SAIDI and SAIFI indicators published with the OEB allow for the exclusion of "loss of supply" in the calculation. How is the loss of supply being addressed in the Plan? Local Planning Drivers do not include Service Quality and Service Resiliency as inputs. A recent study of Feeder Level data within the ECFN corridor provided a 5 year historical look at a number of ECFN Communities. The frequency and duration results from this Feeder report were very poor and efforts to remediate have not been effective. Diverse Feeder and Non-Wire solutions need to be implemented to bring these statistics into line. I suspect the story for other communities in the Southern Georgian Bay / Muskoka region will uncover similar results if they request community Feeder information. 3) Distributed Energy Projects – ECFN communities are exploring additional DER and BESS projects within their corridor. What is the forum(s) for inclusion in the Long-Term (High Reference scenario) forecasts? Slides 16 and 18 make no reference to Indigenous input. How is this information

Topic	Feedback
	being incorporated? 4) Additional Transmission Lines: The additional Transmission lines also provide additional opportunities to improve Service Quality and Reliability by adding additional connection points and re-routing the supply in poorly serviced areas.
What information is important to provide throughout the engagement? Does the proposed Engagement Plan provide sufficient scope and opportunities for input? What other engagement activities or methods should be considered?	It was not clear in the presentation how the individual LDC's provided feedback into the demand forecasts.

General Comments/Feedback

Click or tap here to enter text.