Feedback Form

Northeast Ontario Electricity Planning – April 26, 2022

Feedback Provided by:

Name: James Franks

Title: Economic Development Officer

Organization: City of Temiskaming Shores

Email:

Date: April 27, 2022

Following the Northeast Ontario Electricity Planning engagement webinar held on April 26, 2022, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback on key considerations around scope, needs and potential solutions as outlined during the presentation. A copy of the presentation as well as the recorded webinar can be accessed from the <u>engagement webpage</u>.

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by May 17, 2022.



Торіс	Feedback
What other information should be considered in the study scope, examination of needs and potential options in Northeast Ontario?	On the maps provided, much of the focus appears to be on the areas West of Sudbury and Timmins. Although there are significant mining developments happening in that area, there are also other developments happening to the East of both Sudbury and Timmins as well as potential very large developments North of Timmins. The Ring of Fire development will require significant infrastructure upgrades that need to be considered in the planning process for the next 5 years so that the project can get underway and on schedule. A new refinery is under construction in Temiskaming Shores that will require 12MW as it scales up. Mines under construction in Kirkland Lake, Cochrane and other Northeast communities will require additional loads that must be accommodated within the system.
What feedback do you have regarding any of the options proposed?	I am concerned that all of the transmission capacity being proposed is moving power from East to West, however the main power users in the Province are still in Southern Ontario. This proposal does not enable any further power production in the North to service the large users in the South. There is significant capability to produce power in the North using hydroelectricity, wind or solar production with little to no impact to the land base and the current users. It appears however that production for the South is proposed to be built in the South rather than using the less valuable Northern real estate and transmitting further. This may be cheaper in the short term, but could cause long term growth headaches.
What other information should be considered in the continued development of these solutions leading up to the recommendations?	Click or tap here to enter text.

General Comments/Feedback

Thank you for providing these opportunities for feedback. I have provided my thoughts, however I do not work in this field on a daily basis and do not consider myself to be an expert of energy transmission, so I assume that the recommendations provided are well thought out and researched.