

Feedback Form

Regional Electricity Planning in the North of Dryden Addendum – July 30, 2025

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Christine Petch

Title: Vice-President and Project Director

Organization: Kinross Gold Corporation

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: 12 August 2025

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the North of Dryden Addendum [engagement webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Following the North of Dryden Addendum regional planning webinar held on July 30, 2025, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback on the results of the options analysis and draft recommendations. A copy of the presentation as well as recording of the session can be accessed from the [engagement web page](#).

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by August 13, 2025.

| Topic | Feedback |
|---|---|
| What feedback do you have regarding the results of the detailed options analysis? | We are pleased to see that the study properly considers the imminent growth in the region. We support the recommendation of the 230 kV double circuit from Dryden to RL. |
| What feedback do you have on the draft recommendations? | Slide 21 shows the potential timeline of 5-7 years, and it is unclear when the 7 year timeline starts. Slides 13 and 14 show that the regional demand would exceed the LMC by 2030. Steps should be taken such that the transmission line would be in service by the 5-year timeline, i.e.2030. The 7 year timeline is beyond the planned start-up date for for our Great Bear mining project and some other mining projects. |
| How can the IESO continue to engage with communities and stakeholders as these recommendations are implemented, or to help prepare for the next planning cycle? | We commend the IESO for engaging with the wide range of communities and stakeholders. Once the report is finalized, IESO should meet with customers who have major load growth plans to discuss details of timelines. |

General Comments/Feedback

IESO should confirm that the new line would be part of network assets, and as such the costs would be allocated across broader ratepayers because it delivers significant benefits to the existing grid and is being sized to accommodate long-term regional growth. Are there any interim measures that can be taken to meet the 2030 load before the new line is in-service.