

# **IESO** Staff Recommendation to Panel on **Exemption** Application (General)

All information submitted in this process will be used by the *IESO* solely in support of its obligations under the "Electricity Act, 1998", the "Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998", the *market rules* and associated policies, standards and procedures and its licence. All submitted information will be assigned the appropriate confidentiality level upon receipt.

Terms and acronyms used in this Form that are italicized have the meanings ascribed thereto in Chapter 11 of the *market rules*.

### PART 1 - GENERAL INFORMATION

Market Participant Name: Ivaco Rolling Mills ("Ivaco")

Location/Site: L'Orignal, ON / IVACO-LT.ALF ("Ivaco's Dispatchable Load")

Exemption Application ID: 1164

Description of *Exemption Amendment* Requested:

This application is for administrative amendments to the existing *exemption* #1164, granted on March 8, 2023, to align it with the *renewed market rules* implemented by the Market Renewal Program.

Date Exemption Amendment Application Received: March 13, 2025

Date all relevant application information supplied by *exemption amendment applicant*: March 13, 2025

Management Approvals Obtained: Yes

Section of the *Exemption* Application and Assessment Procedure under which the *exemption amendment application* is made:

Section 4.2 "Amendment of an Exemption"

*Market Rule(s)* or related Market Manual(s) from which *exemption* is requested:

Chapter 0.5, Appendix 5.1 – Section 1.2.1

IMO-FORM-1406 v.5.0 Public Page 1 of 15

### PART 1 - GENERAL INFORMATION

Chapter 0.5, Appendix 5.1 – Section 1.2.4

Chapter 0.7 – Section 2.1.2

Chapter 0.7 – Section 3.3.8

Chapter 0.7 – Section 7.4.1

Chapter 0.7 – Section 7.4.2 and 7.4.2.1

Chapter 0.7 – Section 7.5.1

Chapter 0.7 – Section 7.5.2

Chapter 0.9 – Section 3.10.8

Chapter 0.9 – Section 3.10.17

Third Party Submissions Received: No

Supplemental Assessment Information Attached: Yes

Related Historical *Exemption Application(s)* or Related *Exemption Application(s)* in Process:

If yes, list history of *exemption applications* 

- 1. The original *exemption* for Ivaco Rolling Mills ("Ivaco") was approved on June 17, 2002 (the "Original *Exemption*").
- 2. The original *exemption* was amended through the *exemption* reconsideration process, and the reconsidered exemption was approved on March 8, 2023 (the "Reconsidered *Exemption*"). The Reconsidered *Exemption* addressed the *IESO*'s implementation of the *operating reserve* ("*OR*") non-accessibility settlement amount and outlines how Ivaco is to participate in the *energy* and *operating reserve* (*OR*) markets.

Related Exemptions: There are two other similar *exemptions* for two other market participants pertaining to how *dispatchable loads* with electric arc furnaces are to participate in the *energy* and *OR* markets (*exemptions* #1304, 1308). The *IESO* has also received applications to amend these two other *exemptions* to ensure alignment with the *renewed market rules* implemented by the Market Renewal Program ("MRP").

### PART 1 - GENERAL INFORMATION

Role of *exemption applicant* in the market as it relates to this *exemption application*: *Dispatchable Load* 

### PART 2 - RECOMMENDATION

### **Recommendation:**

This application is for administrative amendments to the Reconsidered *Exemption* (#1164) to align it with the *renewed market rules* implemented by the Market Renewal Program ("MRP").

The *exemption applicant's* circumstances have not changed since the Exemption Panel approved the Reconsidered *Exemption* on March 8, 2023.

Similarly, *IESO* staff assessment of the criteria used in the assessment of *Exemptions*, and listed below, has not changed since the Exemption Panel approved their Reconsidered *Exemption*.

*IESO* staff recommends that Ivaco's application to amend its *exemption* to align with the *renewed market rules* be granted with the Terms and Conditions listed in Part 4 and the updated Operating Parameters in Appendix A.

The proposed amendments are minor changes to the Reconsidered *Exemption's* Terms and Conditions, to (i) update specific section references to the m*arket rules* from which the e*xemption* is granted and (ii) to include an additional *settlement amount* created by MRP.

The update to specific section references is required because (i) the *operating reserve* non-accessibility charge, to which the Reconsidered *Exemption* applies, was moved to a new location in Chapter 0.9, and (ii) the sequence of some sections within each chapter of the *renewed market rules* have changed.

The inclusion of the Real-Time Make-Whole Payment Reversal Charge *Settlement Amount* is required to capture a new *settlement amount* created by MRP that functions in the same manner as the *operating reserve* non-accessibility charge (i.e. it claws back revenue received for OR that is determined to not be accessible).

Given the administrative nature of the amendments and the absence of impact to any of the *exemption* assessment criteria, *IESO* staff is of the view that third party submissions are not required.

#### PART 2 - RECOMMENDATION

# Criteria Used in Assessment of Exemption Applications (Section 3.2.4 of **Exemption Application and Assessment Procedure):** (If X appears in a box, the criterion is applicable to this *exemption application* and is evaluated in Part 3 Details of Assessment; if X does not appear in a box, the criterion is not applicable to this *exemption application*.) Whether the exemption that is the subject-matter of the exemption application would, if granted, materially: [IESO Staff comment: The proposed *exemption* amendments do not change the nature of the *exemption* therefore this section of Part 2 is not applicable. The assessment of the criteria remains unchanged from the Reconsidered Exemption granted on March 8, 2023. The boxes below have not been checked for this reason. impact the ability of the *IESO* to direct the operations and maintain the *reliability* of the IESO controlled -grid; impact the ability of the IESO to ensure non-discriminatory access to the IESO controlled -grid; affect the ability of the *IESO* to operate the *IESO-administered markets* in an efficient, competitive, and reliable manner; increase costs of *market participants*; or increase costs of the *IESO*; Whether the exemption that is the subject-matter of the exemption application would, if granted, give the *exemption applicant* an undue preference in the *IESO-administered* markets.

# PART 2 - RECOMMENDATION

Whether the cost or delay to the <i>exemption applicant</i> of complying with the obligation or standard to which the <i>exemption application</i> relates is reasonable, having regard to the nature of the obligation or standard, the nature of the <i>exemption application</i> and the anticipated impact of non-compliance by the <i>exemption applicant</i> in terms of the elements referred to above;
The adequacy of the <i>exemption</i> plan submitted by the <i>exemption applicant</i> ;
Where the <i>exemption applicant</i> is the <i>IESO</i> , the identification of the benefit to <i>market participants</i> of compliance with the obligation or standard relative to the financial and other resources required to achieve compliance within such deadlines as may be applicable;
Where the <i>exemption applicant</i> is the <i>IESO</i> , the manner in which it proposes to operate in the <i>IESO -administered markets</i> or direct the operations and maintain the <i>reliability</i> of the <i>IESO controlled- grid</i> during the period in which the <i>exemption</i> would be in effect;
Whether the <i>facility</i> or equipment that is the subject-matter of the <i>exemption</i> application:
<ul> <li>was in service or was returned to service on the date on which the obligation or standard to which the <i>exemption</i> application relates came into force;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>was ordered by the <i>exemption applicant</i> on or prior to the date on which the obligation or standard to which the <i>exemption application</i> relates came into force; or</li> </ul>

# PART 2 - RECOMMENDATION

<ul> <li>was in the process of construction on or prior to the date on which the ol</li> </ul>	bligation
or standard to which the exemption application relates came into force; a	and
The capability of the owner of the facility to operate the facility consistent with t	the
terms of the proposed <i>exemption</i> .	

# PART 3 — DETAILS OF ASSESSMENT

Amendments to update *market rule* section references:

As a result of the *renewed market rules* implemented by the Market Renewal Program ("MRP"), the sequence of some sections within each chapter of the *market rules* have changed. The table below lists the *renewed market rule* section numbering as it relates to the exempt *market rules* in the existing Reconsidered *Exemption*.

The sections in Appendix 5.1 and Chapter 0.7 have not changed.

In Chapter 0.9, the applicable section prescribing the *Operating Reserve* Non-Accessibility Charge was moved to Section 3.10.8 in the *renewed market rules* from Section 3.4.2 in the *legacy market rules*. Pursuant to the terms of the Reconsidered *Exemption*, the *market participant* is currently exempt from the *Operating Reserve* Non-Accessibility charge and its *exemption* should be amended to reflect this new section reference.

Amendments to reflect the introduction of Real-Time Make-Whole Payments

With the introduction of Real-Time Make-Whole Payments as part of MRP, also introduced was the Real-Time Make-Whole Payment Reversal Charge *Settlement Amount* in Chapter 0.9 Section 3.10.17 (the "Real-Time Make-Whole Payment Reversal Charge"), which reverses real-time make-whole payments received for *operating reserve* that was determined not to be accessible. *IESO* staff recommends that Ivaco Rolling Mills ("Ivaco") also be exempt from this *market rule* in Chapter 0.9 Section 3.10.17 to ensure alignment with the nature of its existing Reconsidered *Exemption*.

The Real-Time Make-Whole Payment Reversal Charge is a charge that reverses real-time make whole payments that were received by *market participants* for *operating reserve* ("*OR"*) that was determined to be inaccessible. The rationale for originally exempting Ivaco from the *operating reserve* non-accessibility charge, subject to the terms of their Reconsidered *Exemption*, apply equally to the Real-Time Make-Whole Payment Reversal Charge; the determination of whether Ivaco is available to provide *operating reserve* should be understood in the context of its *exemption*, which recognizes that at times Ivaco will provide more *operating reserve* and other times less, with its average over the hour being the amount for which it is compensated.

The proposed amendments treat the Real-Time Make-Whole Payment Reversal Charge in the same manner as the *Operating Reserve* Non-Accessibility charge. Specifically, the Terms and Conditions dictate that if Ivaco does not comply with the terms of its *exemption*, then it will be subject to both the Real-Time Make-Whole Payment Reversal Charge and the *Operating Reserve* Non-Accessibility charge.

While the IESO recommends the addition of a new settlement amount to Ivaco's exemption, this represents a change in how these amounts are treated under the renewed market rules rather than a substantive change to the overall treatment of Ivaco. Under the legacy market rules (i.e. the market rules that existed prior to the Market Renewal Program *market rule amendments*), the amounts that are now the subject of the new Real-Time Make-Whole Payment Reversal Charge would have been compensated and reversed through congestion management settlement credits ("CMSC") with the ability for the Market Assessment and Compliance Division to clawback any unearned CMSC amounts through Chapter 9 s. 3.8.1. The application of section 3.8.1 depended on whether Ivaco was in a position to be able to respond to any dispatch instructions, the relevant provisions of which are explicitly addressed in the existing exemption. Therefore, the reversal process for these amounts indirectly connects to the terms of the exemption and the manner in which Ivaco is expected to operate in accordance with its exemption. Under the renewed market rules, the Real-Time Make-Whole Payment Reversal Charge is not triggered by a reference to compliance with dispatch but rather through the same numerical assessments that informs the determination of the Operating Reserve Accessibility Charge. Therefore, in order to maintain this same treatment, the exemption needs to be updated to treat the Real-Time Make-Whole Payment Reversal Charge in the same manner as the Operating Reserve Accessibility Charge in order to ensure consistent treatment. Overall, these changes represent the same substantive treatment with improvements in efficiency and clarity.

		,	
Legacy Market Rules Chapters and Sections Included in the Existing Reconsidered Exemption	Description	MRP <i>Market Rules</i> Set Included in the Amended <i>Exemption</i>	
Appendix 5.1 – Section 1.2.1	Performance Standards for Ancillary Services – Operating Reserve Ten-Minute		
Appendix 5.1 – Section 1.2.4	Performance Standards for Ancillary Services – Operating Reserve Thirty-Minute	No change in Chapters and Sections.	
Chapter 7 – Section 2.1.2	Requirements for Operating on the Grid	und Sections.	
Chapter 7 – Section 3.3.8	Obligation to Revise Dispatch Data		
Chapter 7 – Section 7.4.1	IESO Dispatch of OR		
Chapter 7 – Section 7.4.2 and 7.4.2.1	IESO Dispatch of OR		
Chapter 7 – Section 7.5.1	Compliance with Dispatch Instructions		
Chapter 7 – Section 7.5.2	Compliance with Dispatch Instructions		
Chapter 9 – Section 3.4.2	Hourly Settlement Amounts for Operating Reserve and Charges	Moved to Chapter 0.9 – Section 3.10.8.	

New addition for MRP n/a

New addition for MRF	Tiya	3.10.17: Real-Time Make-Whole Payment Reversal Charge for <i>Dispatchable Loads</i> .
		With the introduction of Real-Time Make-Whole Payments, there was also the introduction of the Real-Time Make-Whole Payment Reversal Charge to account for the real-time make-whole payments issued in respect of inaccessible OR.

Chapter 0.9 – Section

The *IESO* recommends that the Exemption Panel approve the amendments to the Reconsidered *Exemption* outlined in Part 4 and the updated Operating Parameters in Appendix A, which can be summarized as follows:

- updating the definition of "Charge" to include Real-Time Make-Whole Payment Reversal Charge for *Dispatchable Loads*;
- updating section numbering to align with MRP set of rules;
- updating wording to include references to day-ahead market where necessary;
- changing the term "registered facility" to "resource".

See the attached appendix in tracked changes reflecting the wording changes.

### PART 4 — TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Effective Date of Exemption	May 1, 2025
(or event causing <i>exemption</i> to become effective)	
<ul> <li>Date of Expiration of Exemption</li> <li>If greater than 5 years, the Panel must be satisfied that the circumstances justify a later date.</li> <li>Circumstances which will cause the exemption to immediately expire.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Exemption will be effective until:</li> <li>Ivaco Rolling Mills ("Ivaco") dispatchable load registration status changes to be non-dispatchable</li> <li>Ivaco's dispatchable load ceases utilizing an electric arc furnace in its operations</li> <li>IESO implements necessary tool and/or market rule changes, as applicable, such that the IESO determines the exemption is no longer required</li> </ul>
Market Rule(s) or related Market Manual(s) from which the Exemption is granted.	MR Ch.5 App.5.1 s.1.2.1 MR Ch.5 App.5.1 s.1.2.4 MR Ch.7 s.2.1.2 MR Ch.7 s.3.3.8 MR Ch.7 s.7.4.1 MR Ch.7 s.7.4.2 MR Ch.7 s.7.5.1 MR Ch.7 s.7.5.1 MR Ch.9 s.3.10.8 MR Ch.9 s.3.10.17 Until such time as the IESO eliminates the zero series chapters, the above references will be understood to refer to the <i>renewed market rules</i> .
Restrictions on the manner of operation and/or additional obligations to be	See Appendix A.

PART 4 — TERMS AND CONDITIONS

met during the term of the <i>Exemption</i> , if any.	
Monitoring Information Required	N/A
Information required to be provided by the <i>exemption applicant</i> for monitoring by the <i>IESO</i> .	
Payment of Costs	N/A
<ul> <li>Processing costs (when introduced)</li> </ul>	
• Incremental <i>exemption</i> costs	
• Settlement amounts to be withheld or repaid.	
Reconsideration/Removal	The <i>exemption</i> may be reconsidered, at the sole discretion of the <i>IESO</i> , if:
Date on which the <i>exemption</i> will be reconsidered (if applicable).	<ul> <li>the dispatchable consumption of Ivaco Rolling Mills' ("Ivaco") dispatchable load deviates significantly from its Typical Consumption Pattern, including in the following circumstances:</li> </ul>
Circumstances under which the <i>exemption</i> will be reconsidered (if applicable) other than unforeseen future change in circumstances.	<ul> <li>the peak <i>dispatchable</i> consumption of Ivaco's <i>dispatchable load</i> exceeds [REDACTED] in 10% or more of peak <i>dispatch intervals</i> over any consecutive 6-month period, where peak <i>dispatch intervals</i> refers to the <i>dispatch interval</i> within each <i>settlement hour</i> during business hours where Ivaco's <i>dispatchable load's</i> consumption is the greatest</li> <li>on average over any 6-month period, the production cycle of Ivaco's <i>dispatchable load</i> has 4 or more <i>dispatch intervals</i> of less than 1 MW <i>dispatchable</i> consumption than described in its Typical Consumption Pattern, as</li> </ul>

# PART 4 — TERMS AND CONDITIONS

	<ul> <li>the <i>IESO</i> determines that allowing Ivaco Rolling Mills ("Ivaco") to continue to operate as specified in this <i>exemption</i> would have a detrimental impact on the <i>IESO's</i> ability to maintain the reliability of the <i>IESO-controlled grid</i></li> <li>the <i>IESO</i> implements any <i>market rule</i> amendment which impacts this <i>exemption</i></li> </ul>
Transferability	None
List the terms and conditions that need to be met to allow for a transfer of this exemption to be approved by IESO staff.	
Other:	Exemption only applies to Ivaco's dispatchable load.