

Feedback Form

Transmitter Selection Framework – April 22, 2025

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Stephen Hawkins

Title: Community Energy Champion

Organization: Missanabie Cree First Nation

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: 15th May 2025

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the "[insert engagement webpage](#)" unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Following the April 22, 2025 engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on Transmitter Selection Framework Registry (TSF-R) Design. The webinar presentation and recording can be accessed from the [engagement web page](#).

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by **May 21, 2025.** If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please submit as a separate document, marked "Confidential". Otherwise, to promote transparency, feedback that is not marked "Confidential" will be posted on the engagement webpage.

In your view, do the draft Registry program rules appropriately balance the need for transmitter qualifications with the goal of encouraging broad participation?	As with Missanabie Cree, I think several First Nations may well be able to generate electricity for the grid. The benefits need to be substantial and should include incentives such as net-metering and PPA's with guaranteed project durations. A clearer picture of incentives to participate would be helpful.
Do you have any concerns with the application or renewal process as proposed (e.g., two-year term, renewal triggers, documentation)?	Much relates to the above.
Are the proposed provisions regarding Indigenous community participation and exclusivity arrangements sufficiently clear and practical to implement?	Providing proper consultation is conducted, and Indigenous concerns properly addressed, this should be ok.
Are there any outstanding barriers which could interfere with transmitters participating within the TSF registry?	Barriers? In the little and large world, when competing against established transmitters such as Hydro One, where there are project intersections, will access to say a Hydro One transmission line bear undue charges.
Do you have any additional feedback regarding the TSF of the TSF Registry that the IESO should consider?	Not as yet
Do you have any feedback on the TSF Registry registration fee and the rationale behind it?	If approved for registration, a fee is understandable in context of the approval process and document trail.

General Comments/Feedback