

# Feedback Form

## Resource Adequacy – September 23, 2021

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Heather Sears

Title: VP, Market Services

Organization: Workbench Energy

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: October 14, 2021

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Resource Adequacy webpage unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Following the September 23, 2021 Resource Adequacy webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the following discussed items. Background information related to these feedback requests can be found in the presentation, which can be accessed from the [engagement web page](#).

**Please submit feedback to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca) by October 14, 2021.** If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please mark the document "Confidential". Otherwise, to promote transparency, feedback that is not marked "Confidential" will be posted on the engagement webpage.

### Capacity Auction

Topic	Feedback
General comments and feedback on Next Steps and Timelines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Click or tap here to enter text.</li></ul>

## HDR Baseline Methodology

Topic	Feedback
Is there additional segmentation or sensitivity analysis the IESO should consider?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Click or tap here to enter text.</li> </ul>
Do stakeholders feel there is strong alignment between the results presented and the implications the IESO has identified?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Click or tap here to enter text.</li> </ul>
Are there additional implications the IESO has not considered based on the preliminary results?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Click or tap here to enter text.</li> </ul>
General comments and feedback	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Click or tap here to enter text.</li> </ul>

## Medium-Term RFP

Topic	Feedback
What questions or feedback do you have on the Medium-Term RFP proposed design consideration on <b>Contract Design Considerations</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Despite Pickering GS offline prior to the start of the commitment period out of this medium-term RFP, the IESO's design suggests that off-peak supply capability offers no incremental value. Only 5x16 capability is being acquired.               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Will IESO consider including off-peak energy capability as an element of rated criteria?</li> <li>The product being procured in the medium-term RFP may be different than that acquired in the long-term RFP, i.e. baseload vs. peaking capacity, duration, OR, ancillary products, etc. IESO needs to provide more detail on the expected products to be procured in subsequent procurements to enable resource owners to identify which procurement is the better fit for its operational capability. In the absence of choice, IESO may be limiting existing resources to shorter durations, and devaluing ancillary products that are not being explicitly procured.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
What questions or feedback do you have on the Medium-Term RFP proposed design consideration on <b>Performance Obligations</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proponents need more clear information, with examples, with respect to the proposed performance charges, the calculation of capacity factor or availability factor, the timing of must-offer obligations.</li> <li>Where forced or planned outages occur that are outside of the control of the resource, that is, related to transmission or distribution system or connection issues, there needs to be relief from non-performance factors</li> </ol> </li> </ul>

Topic	Feedback
<p>What questions or feedback do you have on the Medium-Term RFP proposed design consideration on <b>Rated Criteria</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. IESO should provide more information with respect to the way the scoring methodology will be applied, including specifics on location values, duration values, OR values and dispatchability points ranges.</li> <li>2. Is IESO assessing based on facility or based on resource? If a facility is non-quick start but has a resource that is quick start, how does the rated criteria apply?</li> <li>3. How much transparency will there be on the results of the RFP, that is, with pay-as-bid and rated criteria, will the evaluated bid price of specific proponents be made public?</li> </ul>
<p>What questions or feedback do you have regarding considerations for <b>Uprates</b> that may be eligible in the Medium-Term RFP</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Click or tap here to enter text.</li> </ul>
<p>What questions or feedback do you have on the Medium-Term RFP <b>UCAP approach</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IESO should consider a 3rd UCAP to represent the shoulder month capacity, given the significant impact of ambient conditions on natural gas generation operable capacity.</li> </ul>
<p>General comments and feedback</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The medium-term RFP term begins post market renewal, but proponents do not have reliable information on market revenue opportunities. IESO has not put forward any LMP projections, nor is there a robust understanding of the impact of market power mitigation rules on physical and economic withholding on market operation flexibility. Assigning economic risk to prospective supply resources in a time of reliability need and both market uncertainty, policy uncertainty may not yield the competitive benefit IESO is aiming for.</li> </ul>

## General Comments/Feedback

Click or tap here to enter text.