

Feedback Form

Resource Adequacy webinar – May 28, 2021

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Brandon Kelly

Title: Manager, Market & Regulatory Affairs

Organization: Northland Power Inc.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: June 18, 2021

Following the May 28, 2021 Resource Adequacy engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed during the webinar. The webinar presentation and recording can be accessed from the [engagement web page](#).

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by June 18, 2021. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please submit as a separate document, marked "Confidential". Otherwise, to promote transparency, feedback that is not marked "Confidential" will be posted on the engagement webpage.

Resource Adequacy Information Guide

Topic	Feedback
Is there any important Resource Adequacy-related information not already considered in this guide?	

Capacity Auction: Forward Guidance and Minimum Target Threshold

Topic	Feedback
Stakeholders are invited to provide general feedback on the proposed approach for forward guidance and minimum target threshold	<p data-bbox="743 543 1515 852">On slide 31, the IESO lays out its plan for forward Capacity Auction guidance. At the time of AAR publication the IESO will provide a firm target for the next auction, and an “anticipated target within a range” for the following auction. Is the range set for that second auction binding, in that the IESO will be obligated to firm up its target in between that range when it publishes the subsequent AAR?</p> <p data-bbox="743 900 1498 1094">If yes, the IESO should not be bound by the maximum capacity need set in a previous AAR, as this may handcuff the IESO’s ability to address pressing capacity needs. A binding minimum remains prudent as it helps create a stable business environment and minimize invest risk.</p> <p data-bbox="743 1142 1503 1289">If no, how does the future guidance provided by a range differ from the IESO’s proposed guidance for more distant capacity auctions, which the IESO describes as “illustrative forward guidance?”</p>

Transition to Qualified Capacity/UCAP

Topic	Feedback
Will the initial qualified capacity proposals presented result in a UCAP value that is consistent with the qualified capacity design principles for the resource types considered? If not, what changes would you suggest? Please offer alternatives.	

Topic	Feedback
Are the sources of data suggested as inputs into each UCAP formula appropriate? If not, please explain why and suggest alternatives.	
Are there any incorrect assumptions the IESO has included that may not be appropriate?	
Is there anything the IESO may not have considered that may contribute to the development of an accurate UCAP methodology?	
General Comments/Feedback	

UCAP Resource-Specific Meetings

Topic	Feedback
<p>Please indicate your interest in participating in these meetings sooner than June 18, if possible.</p> <p>Are bi-weekly meetings appropriate? What should the format be? How should attendance be managed?</p>	<p>Northland is interested in participating in these meetings. Each meeting should be open to all interested stakeholders.</p>

General Comments / Feedback

On Slides 33 and 34 the IESO outlines its plans to add a minimum target capacity to future auctions. Can the IESO please clarify whether it intends to set a minimum target and allow for supply-demand dynamics to decide whether the auction clears more or less capacity than the target quantity. Alternatively, does the minimum represent a minimum procurement level, in that the IESO is committing to clear at least that much capacity in the auction?