

# Feedback Form

## Resource Adequacy webinar – April 22, 2021

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Mike Zajmalowski

Title: Director, Market Compliance & Integration

Organization: Northland Power Inc.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: May 11, 2021

Following the April 22, 2021 Resource Adequacy engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is welcoming feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed during the webinar. The webinar presentation and recording can be accessed from the [engagement web page](#).

**Please submit feedback to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca) by May 13, 2021.** If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please submit as a separate document, marked "Confidential". Otherwise, to promote transparency, feedback that is not marked "Confidential" will be posted on the engagement webpage.

## Feedback on draft market rule and manual amendments for 2021 Capacity Auction administrative enhancements

Draft Market Rule and Manual / Section	Feedback
Market manual (MM) 12 / Section (S) 3.2	
MM 12 / S 4.1	
MM 12 / S 5.2	
MM 12 / S 5.3.3	
MM 12 / S 6	
MM 12 / S 8	
MM 5.5 / 1.6.26.3.5	
Market rule (MR) Ch. 2 / S 1.2.2.6	
MR Ch. 11	
MR Ch. 7 / S. 18.4.4	
General comments/feedback	<p data-bbox="683 1064 1520 1220">On page 7, with respect to the requirements to participate in the eventual mid-term RFP, the IESO notes, "Energy production requirement is still to be determined, and expected to be at least 4 hours."</p> <p data-bbox="683 1255 1520 1604">Can the IESO please elaborate on this requirement. Does this mean that eligible participants must be able to provide 4-consecutive hours of energy (at their cleared capacity amount) to participate in the RFP? Alternatively, does this mean that capacity resources selected through RFP will be required to deliver 4-consecutive hours of energy on selected days? Any additional clarification that you feel would benefit the broader community regarding this requirement and/or how it will be valued is appreciated.</p>

## Draft scope for hourly demand response (HDR) baseline methodology review

Topic	Feedback
<p><u>Data</u> Is the proposed source data appropriate? Is the analysis timeframe appropriate?</p>	
<p><u>Suitable Business Days</u> Is the proposed method for choosing proxy event days appropriate? Should additional types of days be excluded from the set of proxy event days?</p>	
<p><u>Baselines</u> Are there additional baselines that should be evaluated? Do stakeholders support the exclusion of regression-based baselines?</p>	
<p><u>Performance Assessment</u> Are the proposed evaluation principles of accuracy, integrity, and simplicity appropriate? Are the proposed statistical performance metrics to assess baseline accuracy appropriate?</p>	
<p>General comments/feedback</p>	

## General Comments / Feedback