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Following the April 2, 2020 Market Development Advisory Group webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the following items discussed during the meeting. The presentation, which contains the information related to these feedback requests, can be accessed from the [engagement web page](http://ieso.ca/Sector-Participants/Engagement-Initiatives/Engagements/Market-Development-Advisory-Group).

**Please submit feedback to** [**engagement@ieso.ca**](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca) **by April 27, 2020. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please submit as a separate document, marked “Confidential”.** Otherwise,to promote transparency, feedback that is not marked “Confidential” will be posted on the engagement webpage.

**Stakeholder Feedback Table**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Feedback Topic** | **Requests** | **Stakeholder Feedback** |
| Expanding Participation in Operating Reserve and Energy | Is our current focus of generator/load with behind-the-meter storage solutions consistent with stakeholder expectations of what “hybrid participation” consists of? |  |
| Do stakeholders have experience with hybrid participation in other jurisdictions? If so, are there any learnings we should be considering from other system operators? |  |
| Are the requirements to participate in energy and operating reserve (OR) clear and understandable? |  |
| Based on the models and opportunities presented, is it clear what could be limiting a resource from participating? |  |
| Based on the information that was presented, are your resources or a subset of your resources capable of meeting our current requirements? |  |
| Have you come across similar issues for participation in other markets? |  |
| Are you interested in participating in an individual teleconference with the IESO to discuss any participation issues for the resource types identified? |  |
| Transmission Rights Clearing Account (TRCA) Disbursement Methodology | Please provide feedback on the revised disbursement methodology proposal here. |  |
| Transmission Rights Market Review | Please provide any feedback on the engagement approach here. |  |
| Is the proposed scope appropriate? |  |
| Does the sequencing of stages make sense or should MRP changes be prioritized? |  |
| Are the timelines realistic? |  |
| What stakeholder constituents would be most interested in participating in the review? |  |
| How often would MDAG like to be engaged? |  |
| What level of involvement would MDAG like to play? |  |
| What do MDAG members believe is the purpose of the TR market? |  |
| General Comments: | | |