

# Feedback Form

1.

## Long-Term RFP – June 29, 2023

### Feedback Provided by:

2. Name:
3. Title:
4. Organization: Hydromega Services Inc.
5. Email:
6. Date: July 13, 2023
- 7.
8. Following the June 29<sup>th</sup> public webinar on the Long-Term RFP (LT1 RFP), the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on design of the LT1 RFP and LT1 Contract.
9. The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).
10. **Please provide feedback by July 13, 2023** to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca).
11. Please use subject header: **Long-Term RFP**. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.
12. The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.
13. Thank you for your contribution.

## Revised COD of May 1, 2028

| <b>Topic</b>  | <b>Feedback</b>  |
|---|--|
| Are Proponents supportive of the revised COD date and the introduction of Capacity payment multipliers for early operation? | Yes. Proposing different COD and capacity payment for new build and existing infrastructure extension would be more interesting, as there are higher chances for the extension to be in early operation. |

## Revised procurement targets

| <b>Topic</b>   | <b>Feedback</b>   |
|--|---|
| Are Proponents supportive of the revised LT1 procurement targets on slide 15, which has increased the overall procurement target from 2,200MW to 2,505MW? This enables unused MWs in the Non-Storage Category from the E-LT1 RFP to the Non-Storage Category in the LT1 RFP. The IESO continues to reserve the right to accept the marginal bid above the Storage Category procurement target. | No. This change should have been made before the submission of deliverability tests. Proponents might not be interested in non-storage capacity submission with a total capacity of 600MW due to high competition. However, some Proponents might have submitted deliverability tests for non-storage capacities if it was initially 905MW. Given the average price of the E-LT1 and the number of proposals received for the Storage Category in the E-LT1, we strongly support that the unused MWs be attributed to the Storage Category. |

## Changes to Rated Criteria

| <b>Topic</b>   | <b>Feedback</b>                                   |
|--|---|
| Are Proponents supportive of the revised Rated Criteria approach as laid out on slides 20 and 21? This includes the removal of the duration of service as a Rated Criteria and setting minimum duration requirements as a Mandatory Criteria for Storage Category and Non-Storage Category resources.<br><br>Remaining Rated Criteria include: Local Governing Body Support, and Indigenous Participation. | We are supportive of the remaining Rated Criteria |

## Inclusion of the MCIA in the LT1 RFP

| Topic   | Feedback   |
|---|------------|
| Are Proponents supportive of continuing to include MCIA options in the LT1 RFP? | No comment |

### Changes to Proponent Group Award Limit

| Topic   | Feedback   |
|---|--|
| <p>Are Proponents supportive of increasing the Group Award Limit for Storage Category resources from 600 MW to 900 MW?</p> <p>Additionally, the IESO invites Proponents to provide Group Award Limit feedback with regards to the Non-Storage Category.</p> | <p>While we support the increase of the Group Award Limit for the Storage Category from 600MW to 900MW, we don't support such increase for the Non-Storage Category, since we support that the unused MWs be attributed to the Storage Category.</p> <p>Nonetheless, we support a Project Award Limit in the 300 to 400MW range. We think this would limit execution risk for the IESO and would diversify IESO's supply base.</p> |

### Other or General Comments/Feedback:

1. We suggest the IESO to consider offering a 20-year contract for Non-Storage projects if the Proponent commits to using a certain percentage of "renewable or low-carbon" fuel such as green hydrogen or renewable natural gas in combination with natural gas after 2040.
2. Will the IESO provide certain codes, standards or regulations to respect to the design, siting, construction and operation of BESS projects?
3. Will the IESO provide distances to maintain for a BESS project from distribution and transmission systems or is it the responsibility of HONI and the LDCs?