

# Feedback Form

## Long-Term RFP – June 29, 2023

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Daryl Scheerer

Title: Director, Development

Organization: BluEarth Renewables Inc

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: July 13, 2023

Following the June 29<sup>th</sup> public webinar on the Long-Term RFP (LT1 RFP), the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on design of the LT1 RFP and LT1 Contract.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

**Please provide feedback by July 13, 2023 to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca).**

Please use subject header: *Long-Term RFP*. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

## Revised COD of May 1, 2028

<b>Topic</b>	<b>Feedback</b>
Are Proponents supportive of the revised COD date and the introduction of Capacity payment multipliers for early operation?	We support the revised COD date and introduction of Capacity payment multipliers for early operation.

## Revised procurement targets

<b>Topic</b>	<b>Feedback</b>
Are Proponents supportive of the revised LT1 procurement targets on slide 15, which has increased the overall procurement target from 2,200MW to 2,505MW? This enables unused MWs in the Non-Storage Category from the E-LT1 RFP to the Non-Storage Category in the LT1 RFP. The IESO continues to reserve the right to accept the marginal bid above the Storage Category procurement target.	We are supportive of the procurement target change and we further suggest that the additional non-storage MW should be allocated to storage given recent results show storage can be procured competitively against gas fired generation.

## Changes to Rated Criteria

<b>Topic</b>	<b>Feedback</b>
Are Proponents supportive of the revised Rated Criteria approach as laid out on slides 20 and 21? This includes the removal of the duration of service as a Rated Criteria and setting minimum duration requirements as a Mandatory Criteria for Storage Category and Non-Storage Category resources.  Remaining Rated Criteria include: Local Governing Body Support, and Indigenous Participation.	We are supportive of the revised Rated Criteria approach.

## Inclusion of the MCIA in the LT1 RFP

Topic	Feedback
Are Proponents supportive of continuing to include MCIA options in the LT1 RFP?	We are supportive of continuing to include MCIA options in the LT1 RFP.

### Changes to Proponent Group Award Limit

Topic	Feedback
<p>Are Proponents supportive of increasing the Group Award Limit for Storage Category resources from 600 MW to 900 MW?</p> <p>Additionally, the IESO invites Proponents to provide Group Award Limit feedback with regards to the Non-Storage Category.</p>	<p>We are <u>not</u> supportive of the increased Group Award Limit for Storage Category resources. The group limit is designed for a diverse range of projects and lower risk of project attrition/IESO exposure especially given suggested MOE approach that <i>“Once LT1 Contracts are signed, contract counterparties would contact Ministry of Energy to determine if their project has the potential to impact Aboriginal or treaty rights”</i></p>

### Other or General Comments/Feedback: