

Feedback Form

Long-Term RFP – May 4, 2023

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Nicola Vaughan

Title: Policy and Regulatory Specialist

Organization: Innergex Renewable Energy Inc.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: May 18, 2023

Following the May 4th public webinar on the Long-Term RFP (LT1 RFP), the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on design of the LT1 RFP and LT1 Contract.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

Please provide feedback by May 18, 2023 to engagement@ieso.ca.

Please use subject header: **Long-Term RFP**. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

Areas of Feedback:

Topic	Feedback
<p>The revised proposed procurement timeline presented on May 4, with regards to changes to the schedule of the Deliverability Test process.</p>	<p>We appreciate the updated timeline proposal – the change to a 2-month window between the deliverability test conclusion and the proposal submission will allow proponents to better consolidate their projects. Accelerating the date of submission for the deliverability test to June 16th, however, was unexpected, and while we acknowledge the amount of analysis that the IESO will need to conduct, we would suggest pushing back the deliverability test submission date slightly to the end of June while maintaining a 2-month period between the deliverability test results and the bid submission.</p> <p>Please clarify whether deliverability test applicants can submit up to 3 variations on each of the two parameters (connection point and capacity), i.e., a total of up to 6 variations, or up to 3 variations on the parameters in total.</p>
<p>The proposed broadened definition of an Eligible Expansion facility, which gives the optionality of connecting to a separate connection point as long as the new resource remains within the boundaries of the existing site.</p>	<p>Please clarify whether a stand-alone energy storage project to be at the same physical location as an Eligible Existing Facility but with a different Connection Point would be considered an Eligible Expansion or a New Build project.</p>
<p>The removal of locational Rated Criteria points due to a provincial wide Capacity need within the timeframe of the LT1 RFP.</p>	<p>No comment.</p>

Other or General Comments/Feedback:

No comment.