

Feedback Form

Long-Term RFP – May 4, 2023

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Paul Merkur

Title: Executive Vice President

Organization: Baseload Power Southwest LP

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: May 18, 2023

Following the May 4th public webinar on the Long-Term RFP (LT1 RFP), the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on design of the LT1 RFP and LT1 Contract.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

Please provide feedback by May 18, 2023 to engagement@ieso.ca.

Please use subject header: **Long-Term RFP**. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

Areas of Feedback:

Topic	Feedback
<p>The revised proposed procurement timeline presented on May 4, with regards to changes to the schedule of the Deliverability Test process.</p>	<p>The shortened timeline before the LT1 Deliverability Test submission has the potential to result in Proponents not having complete information relating to Hydro One/LDC, the Project's GPS Coordinates and possible project partners.</p> <p>To alleviate the challenges associated with obtaining fulsome information from Hydro One/LDC, please send clear signals to Hydro One and LDCs that they need to engage with Qualified Applicants and provide meaningful information and feedback regarding proposed connection points in an expedited manor. Additionally, Hydro One and LDCs need to be flexible in working with Qualified Applicants and not require them to produce detailed engineered drawings such as single line diagrams and site plans prior to receiving feedback.</p> <p>Regarding the Project's GPS coordinates, the IESO is allowing the Project Location to change from the Deliverability Test results to the LT1 RFP Submission and as such should allow for some change of the GPS Co-ordinates of the Project's connection point during the same period so long as the Project's capacity and connection circuit(s) remains consistent with the result of the Deliverability Test.</p> <p>Finally, given the shortened timeline to make a submission into the Deliverability Test, Qualified Applicants have less time to finalize potential partnerships with other Qualified Applicants. To ensure the IESO is not unintentionally disqualifying a "Deliverable" or "Deliverable but Competing" Project, a Qualified Applicant should be allowed to list any number of other Qualified Applicant IDs on any of its Deliverability Test submissions, provided that each listed Qualified Applicant count such as submission as part of their allotment of 10 Deliverability Test submissions.</p>
<p>The proposed broadened definition of an Eligible Expansion facility, which gives the optionality of connecting to a separate connection point as long as the new resource remains within the boundaries of the existing site.</p>	<p>NA</p>

Topic	Feedback
The removal of locational Rated Criteria points due to a provincial wide Capacity need within the timeframe of the LT1 RFP.	<p>While we appreciate that the Capacity Need identified to be targeted by the LT1 RFP is a provincial need we believe the IESO has an opportunity to overlap procurements and value stack solutions to address the provincial and local needs as required under the regional planning process and acknowledged in the most recent Deliverability Test Guidance Draft document. Therefore, we would encourage the IESO to continue to signal where the capacity would be most effective by the continued inclusion of locational Rated Criteria points.</p> <p>Additionally, by eliminating locational rated criteria points developers will not be incentivized to locate projects near load centers in need of electricity and electrical capacity thereby potentially exacerbating the long term needs of these high growth load centers.</p>

Other or General Comments/Feedback:

To promote fairness, Proponents that submit E-LT1 Projects (with or without conditions) into the LT1 Deliverability Test should have that submission counted as one (1) of their ten (10) allowable Deliverability Test submissions.

As part of the results of the deliverability test, will the IESO be able to provide load profiles associated with a Battery Energy Storage System's (BESS) Connection Point for the worst-case day that such BESS was tested against so that Qualified Applicants can better understand the risks associated with fully charging and discharging their BESS during a high peak day?

The IESO is allowing Qualified Applicants to make up to 10 Deliverability Test submissions. Is the IESO committed to providing results for all 10 submissions or do Qualified Applicants need to rank their submissions in case the IESO will not commit to reviewing all 10?

Please clarify the following:

- If Deliverability Test submission can have 3 sizes and 3 interconnection points, how many total options can be included in one Deliverability Test?
- Is it possible to submitted more than one Deliverability Test with the same GPS Co-ordinates?
- What is the precise information you want in respect of the "connection information"? Clear instructions to Qualified Applicants will help avoid clarifications required post Deliverability Test submission.
- Exact requirements for the GPS coordinates associated with each Project.

General Comments/Feedback

We appreciate the IESO's continued engagement and flexibility in working with industry to find a procurement process and structure that provide industry with the certainty required to develop projects while ensuring the IESO is efficient with its procurements dollars.