

Feedback Form

Long-Term RFP – August 10, 2022

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Margaret Koontz

Title: Manager, Market Affairs

Organization: Atura Power

Email: [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

Date: August 22, 2022

Following the August 10th public webinar on the Long-Term RFP, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on: the LT1 RFP design and key updates presented in the meeting, Contract Design, Upgrades, and the Deliverability Test Guidance Document.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

Please provide feedback by August 22, 2022 to engagement@ieso.ca.

Please use subject header: **Long-Term RFP**. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

LT1 RFP Design and Key Updates

| Topic | Feedback |
|--|--|
| Please provide any general feedback on the LT1 RFP design and the key updates provided by IESO in the meeting. | In bifurcating the procurement target into one for battery storage and one for "all other resources", would other types of energy storage be classified under "other"? |

Proposed Contract Design

| Topic | Feedback |
|---|---|
| Please provide any feedback on the contract design and provisions proposed by the IESO. | Atura is encouraged that the IESO has taken stakeholder feedback into consideration and recognized the need for a separate storage contract. In addition, the IESO's proposal to include a Regulatory Charge Credit provision is an important aspect, which recognizes the uniqueness of these resources. Atura looks forward to further collaborating with the IESO and the storage community to develop an appropriate energy storage contract. |

Proposed Upgrades Process

| Topic | Feedback |
|---|--|
| Please provide any feedback on the proposed design and other considerations with respect to the Same Technology Upgrades procurement process. | <p>On the Deliverability Test Form, for existing gas-fired generation for the same technology upgrade, line 24 requests the Nameplate Capacity of synchronous generation. Can the IESO clarify what purpose these values will serve in the deliverability test? Furthermore, each unit has its own "nameplate" – is the expectation to add up all 3 to determine the value for line 24?</p> <p>On line 35 and 36 of the same form (incremental summer and winter continuous rating), the form does not provide the ambient temperature associated with summer and winter. Can the IESO confirm that for summer the ambient temperature is 35C (south of Barrie) and winter is 10C?</p> <p>Please confirm that the "total new summer and winter continuous rating with expansion" (line 33 and 34) value will be obtained by adding the new summer (and winter) maximum continuous rating from the three units.</p> |

Deliverability Test Guidance Document

| Topic | Feedback |
|--|--|
| <p>Please provide any feedback on the Deliverability Test Guidance Document and associated form.</p> | <p>Can the IESO please clarify the allowable communications with transmitters/distributors to support project development. Their input is required to determine the connection point information for the deliverability test input data (GPS coordinates of connection point, circuit, TS/SS).</p> <p>Can the IESO confirm whether the following transmission projects will be factored into the Deliverability Test assumptions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• East-West Tie Reinforcement• West of London and West of Chatham Reinforcements• FETT Capacity Upgrade• Hawthorne-Merivale Reinforcement• Lennox Reactors <p>Could the IESO provide the ambient temperatures assumed for the summer and winter cases referenced in section 5?</p> |

General Comments/Feedback