

# Feedback Form

## Long-Term RFP – June 9, 2022

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Paul Norris

Title: President

Organization: Ontario Waterpower Association

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: June 20 2022

Following the June 9<sup>th</sup> public webinar on the Long-Term RFP, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on the additional procurement mechanisms, as well as on proposed revenue streams.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#).

**Please provide feedback by June 20, 2022 to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca).**

Please use subject header: *Long-Term RFP*. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

## Additional Mechanisms: Overview and Linkages

Topic	Feedback
Please provide any feedback on the IESO's overview of the Additional Mechanisms (Expedited Process, Same-Technology Expansions, FCA) and the linkages between acquisition mechanism (e.g., Expedited Process and LT1 RFP, or LT1 RFP and LT2 RFP)	

## LT1 RFP and Expedited Process: Mandatory Requirements and Rated Criteria

Topic	Feedback
Please provide any feedback on the Mandatory Requirements and Rated Criteria proposed for the LT1 RFP and Expedited Process.	Please confirm that expansions of existing facilities meets the proponent qualification criteria for the RFQ

## LT1 RFP and Expedited Process: Proposed Contract Design

Topic	Feedback
Please provide feedback on the proposed contract design for the LT1 RFP and Expedited Process. The IESO welcomes feedback on the proposed approach for qualifying capacity as well as the proposed Capacity Payment Adjustment Mechanism.	

## LT1 RFP and Expedited Process: Proposed Term Lengths

Topic	Feedback
Please provide any feedback on the term length considerations proposed in addition to the incentive mechanism for the Expedited Process.	

## Deliverability Assessment

Topic	Feedback
Please provide feedback on the IESO's proposed process for deliverability testing and timelines.	

## Additional Acquisition Mechanisms: Same Technology Expansions

Topic	Feedback
Are the descriptions of the different kinds of upgrades/expansions clear and reflective of the options?	
What are the interdependencies between the existing contract, any upgrades and on-site expansions that need to be considered?	
Are any interdependencies missing/not fully captured?	
What are the considerations for participating in the Expedited Process or LT1 RFP?	
What other key considerations/risks need to be included to help ensure this initiative is successful?	

## Additional Acquisition Mechanisms: Forward Capacity Auction

Topic	Feedback
<p>Is expanding eligibility to variable generation, self-scheduling and co-located hybrid facilities in the FCA and ACA a priority for stakeholders?</p> <p>(Refer to slide 99)</p>	

Topic	Feedback
<p>Any feedback and suggestions on how the performance assessment framework may need to be modified to reflect the design differences?</p> <p>(Refer to slide 106)</p>	
<p>Any feedback on potential features that could be considered for the design of the FCA?</p> <p>(Refer to slide 108)</p>	
<p>Is expanding eligibility to variable generation, self-scheduling and co-located hybrid facilities in the FCA and ACA a priority for stakeholders?</p>	
<p>Any feedback and suggestions on how the performance assessment framework may need to be modified to reflect FCA design differences?</p>	
<p>What other design features should be considered to increase the attractiveness of a Forward Capacity Auction as part of IESO's suite of acquisition mechanisms?</p> <p>(Refer to slide 110)</p>	

## General Comments/Feedback

The RFQ requirement to meet the “Small-Scale Entity Development Experience Threshold”, that the Applicant or its Control Group Member must have directly owned, or Controlled a Person that directly owned, **four (4)** or more Electricity generation or storage facilities with a nameplate capacity of at least 500 kW that have achieved commercial operation in any jurisdiction in Canada or the United States of America no more than **five (5) years** prior to the date of the Qualification Submission will, by design, exclude waterpower as there have been no new facilities built in the last five years. No proponent would build four new facilities over a five-year time frame. This requirement exemplifies the need for a separate procurement mechanism for waterpower.