



Long Term 2 RFP

Frequently Asked Questions, Key Feedback and IESO Responses

Version 7
October 10, 2025

Disclaimer

This document and the information contained herein is provided for informational purposes only. The IESO has prepared this document based on information currently available to the IESO and reasonable assumptions associated therewith. The IESO provides no guarantee, representation, or warranty, express or implied, with respect to any statement or information contained herein and disclaims any liability in connection therewith. The IESO undertakes no obligation to revise or update any information contained in this document as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In the event there is any conflict or inconsistency between this document and the IESO market rules, any IESO contract, any legislation or regulation, or any request for proposals or other procurement document, the terms in the market rules, or the subject contract, legislation, regulation, or procurement document, as applicable, govern.

Document Change History

Version	Reason For Change	Date
1	Long-Term 2 RFP Frequently Asked Questions, Key Feedback and IESO Responses	September 27, 2024
2	New FAQ: 2.3	October 3, 2024
3	New Section 6: Community Engagement and Support Confirmations Former Section 6: Additional Questions and Feedback Received now Section 7: Additional Questions and Feedback Received New FAQ: 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 2.4, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 Updated FAQ: 7.1, general updates to contact information	November 26, 2024
4	New FAQ: 3.7, 6.4 Updated FAQ: 1.2, 4.2, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3	July 09, 2025
5	New FAQ: 2.5, 6.5, 6.6 Updated FAQ: 6.3	September 25, 2025
6	New FAQ: 6.7	October 1, 2025
7	New FAQ: 7.6, 7.7	October 10, 2025

Frequently Asked Questions

The following questions have been submitted to the IESO via a number of channels, including via the LT2.RFP@ieso.ca inbox and through stakeholder engagement feedback. Capitalized terms used but not defined in this FAQ have the meaning given to them in the Market Rules, the LT2 RFP, the LT2 Contract, and the Preliminary Connection Guidance Document.

This document will be continuously updated with questions and responses pertaining to the LT2 RFP.

1. Request for Qualifications and LT2 RFP Registration

1.1 Will the IESO utilize an RFQ process prior to the LT2 RFP to determine Qualified Applicants?

The IESO will not utilize an RFQ process prior to the LT2 RFP. Instead, the LT2 RFP will include minimum Team Member Experience as a mandatory requirement and a Proposal Security to support financial wherewithal.

1.2 How long before the Proposal Submission Date will registration occur?

The registration deadlines for the LT2 (e-1) and LT2 (c-1) RFPs are September 4, 2025, and October 3, 2025, respectively.

1.3 The RFP indicates that a maximum Contract Capacity needs to be stated at Registration. Does this mean that the Contract Capacity at Proposal submission can be less than the maximum Contract Capacity indicated at the time of registration?

Yes, the Contract Capacity of a given Proposal may be less than the maximum Contract Capacity indicated at the time of registration.

1.4 Can offered the maximum offered Contract Capacity be increased between the registration period and the Proposal Submission Deadline?

Yes, a Proponent may increase the maximum Contract Capacity between the registration period and the Proposal Submission Deadline. Information submitted during the registration period is intended to aid the IESO in preparing for the LT2 RFP Evaluation Process and may be changed up until the Proposal Submission Deadline.

1.5 Do Indigenous Partners on a proposed project need to be identified at Registration?

No, Proponents do not need to identify Indigenous partners as part of the Registration process. The Prescribed Form: Registration Form shall require the Proponent to: (i) identify the Proponent and any of its Control Group Member(s); specify the proposed Facility's generating technology; (ii) identify the maximum Contract Capacity for the proposed Facility; and (iii) identify the proposed Connection Point in respect of the proposed Facility.

1.6 Will Proponents be permitted to change the Connection Point of the proposed Facility post submission of the Prescribed Form: Registration Form, prior to the Proposal Submission Deadline?

Yes, Proponents are eligible to change the Connection Point of the proposed Facility up until the Proposal Submission Deadline.

1.7 Is the Registration Fee required per Proposal or per Proponent?

The Registration Fee is required for each Proposal in respect of a single proposed facility. If a Proponent intends to submit multiple Proposals, multiple Registration Fees would be required.

2. Resource Eligibility

2.1 What resources are eligible to participate in the LT2 RFP?

Following the guidance of the August 28th, 2024, ministerial letter the IESO will be proceeding with a technology agnostic procurement for the LT2 RFP. New build resources that can meet the eligibility requirements, be enabled in the IESO-Administered Markets, and be in service by the Commercial Operation Date can participate in the LT2 RFP, with specific resources being better suited to the energy and capacity streams.

2.2 Will natural gas resources be eligible to participate in the LT2 RFP?

Per the response to FAQ 2.1, the LT2 RFP will be a technology agnostic procurement, and all resource types will be eligible to participate.

2.3 Will redeveloped facilities be eligible to participate in the LT2 RFP?

No, redeveloped facilities and upgrades will not be eligible to participate in the LT2 RFP, the procurement is open to New Build facilities only.

The IESO recognizes that there is inconsistent language between the draft LT2(e) RFP and the introductory language on page 4 of the LT2(e) Contract, which references redeveloped facilities. The updated draft documents to be released in the coming weeks will be revised to reflect that the LT2 RFP is intended to solicit new electricity generation services from New Build facilities only.

2.4 Are repowered facilities eligible to participate in LT2 RFP?

No, repowered facilities are not eligible to participate in the LT2 RFP. The procurement is open to New Build facilities only.

2.5 Are new units (e.g. turbines, solar arrays) that are separately metered but co-located with an existing generating facility already under contract eligible to participate in LT2 RFP?

Any New Build facility that can meet all LT2 RFP requirements and contractual obligations is eligible to participate, including those that are co-located with existing generating facilities.

3. Deliverability

3.1 Will the IESO be conducting preliminary deliverability testing for the LT2 RFP?

The IESO will not be conducting preliminary deliverability testing for the LT2 RFP. The IESO released an updated [Preliminary Connection Guidance Document](#) for the LT2(e) RFP on September 6, 2024, with connection-type and reliability-type data. The IESO will evaluate the deliverability of proposals during the proposal evaluation stage in order of each proposal's evaluated price. Potential participants can also [request a pre-submission consultation](#) to help inform their proposal, using the procedure provided on the LT2 RFP website.

3.2 Will the IESO provide a detailed system map to provide better visibility of the geographical locations of equipment and limitations?

Hydro One and the IESO have collaborated to provide participants with an option to [request a preliminary connection guidance map](#) to complement the Preliminary Connection Guidance document and help inform submissions for the LT2(e) RFP.

3.3 Will the IESO Update the Preliminary Connection Guidance document with the results of the LT1 RFP?

Version 2 of the Preliminary Connection Guidance document, which reflects the results of LT1 RFP, is now available on the LT2 RFP website. For further clarity the results of the E-LT1 RFP have already been taken into consideration in the published version of the Preliminary Connection Guidance document.

3.4 Can projects connect to 500kV circuits for the LT2 RFP?

As stated in section 5.4 of the Preliminary Connection Guidance Document, connection to 500 kV circuits should be avoided for LT2 RFP. If the Proponents believe they have a good case for their project to connect to the 500kV system, they should discuss with Hydro One.

3.5 Will the IESO allow Proponents to make investments in the Ontario electricity system to allow their projects to connect?

Upgrades that would be required to make new projects deliverable are generally bulk system upgrades that require significant studies to confirm the optimal solutions and longer lead times to implement. To maximize the likelihood that projects will be capable of meeting the reliability needs to be addressed through the LT2 RFP in time, projects will be tested for deliverability based on the expected system configuration at the time of their connection.

3.6 How will the results of the LT2 RFP energy stream factor into deliverability for the capacity stream?

The IESO will prioritize projects in the energy stream before considering projects that are submitted as part of the capacity stream.

3.7 Will the IESO continue holding deliverability consultations with potential Proponents now that the final RFPs have been posted?

Yes, the IESO will continue holding deliverability consultations with potential Proponents. In order to help ensure all potential Proponents have the ability to schedule a deliverability consultation, should they wish, the IESO will be implementing certain restrictions. These include:

- Deliverability consultations will be held up until 2 weeks prior to the applicable Proposal Submission Deadline.
- Individual deliverability consultations will be scheduled for no more than one hour.
- Potential Proponents requesting a deliverability consultation are encouraged to submit a list of questions to the IESO in advance of the consultation.
- Deliverability consultations will permit:
 - Answering questions on the Preliminary Connection Guidance documents for the LT2 RFPs
 - Answering questions on the evaluation stage deliverability test methodology for the LT2 RFPs
- Deliverability consultations will not permit:
 - Answering questions on the requirements/provisions of the LT2 RFPs or Contracts
 - Any communications for Excluded Purposes
- At this time, the IESO does not intend to limit to the number of deliverability consultations a potential proponent is able to schedule. The IESO will, however, prioritize scheduling deliverability consultations with those potential Proponents that have not requested a consultation before scheduling a subsequent consultation with a potential proponent that has already had one.

4. Projects Proposed to be Located in Prime Agricultural Areas

4.1 How does the definition of Prime Agricultural Area link with municipal Official Plans?

The IESO is proposing revisions to the definition of Prime Agricultural Area in the draft LT2 procurement documents to better align with the intent of the June 6, 2024, ministerial letter. The revised definition will reflect that while the Provincial Planning Statement, 2024 defines what could, in principle, constitute a Prime Agricultural Area, the boundaries of any particular Prime Agricultural Area are designated by the applicable municipality or Northern planning board's Official Plan.

4.2 For projects proposed to be located in Prime Agricultural Areas, when does an Agricultural Impact Assessment need to be completed and what documentation is required to be submitted to the IESO as part of proposal submission?

Per the June 6, 2024, ministerial letter, jointly issued by the Ministry of Energy and Electrification and the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Agribusiness (OMAFRA), and confirmed in the November 28, 2024 ministerial directive, the IESO was given the policy direction that Proponents wishing to participate in the IESO's procurements will need to:

1. Demonstrate municipal support to locate on lands subject to municipal land use authority; and,
2. Where the project is located in Prime Agricultural Areas and is not a ground-mounted solar project, complete an Agricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) to the satisfaction of the municipality.

Note: ground-mounted solar projects are prohibited in Prime Agricultural Areas, consistent with the June 6 ministerial letter.

An AIA includes three components that may be completed in two separate stages under the LT2 RFP and Contract. The two stages are:

1. AIA Component One Requirement: Proposal Submission Requirement

The AIA Component One Requirement considers ways to avoid potential impacts and is completed as part of the site selection process. Confirmation that the Proponent has satisfied the AIA Component One Requirement is reflected in the required form of Municipal Support Confirmation.

2. AIA Components Two and Three Requirement: Contractual Requirement

While a Proponent or Supplier may choose to complete the AIA Components Two and Three Requirement at any point, subject to individual business decisions, the AIA Components Two and Three Requirement is required to be completed by the Supplier and confirmed to be satisfactory to the applicable Local Municipality within 18-months of Contract execution. The Supplier will be required to confirm to the IESO that the AIA Components Two and Three Requirement have been completed and reviewed to the satisfaction of the Local Municipality via Exhibit T: Form of AIA Confirmation Certificate of the LT2 Contract.

For further FAQs regarding AIA's please see the [LT2 RFP Agricultural Impact Assessment Q&A](#).

5. Revenue Model

5.1 Will the IESO be proceeding with the Enhanced PPA (E-PPA) revenue model for the LT2(e) RFP?

Per the August 28th ministerial letter, the IESO will proceed with the E-PPA revenue model for the LT2(e) RFP.

6. Community Engagement & Support Confirmations

6.1 What support confirmations are required for a project located within a Municipality but also located on Crown Land?

A Proposal for a project that is located on Crown Lands but also falls within a Municipality is required to submit a Municipal Support Confirmation as well as a Ministry of Natural Resources Confirmation Letter ("MNR Confirmation Letter") confirming that a completed Crown Land Site Report Form has been endorsed by the Ministry of Natural Resources in respect of the applicable lands.

6.2 Under what circumstances is an Indigenous Support Confirmation or Municipal Support Confirmation not required?

A support confirmation is not required for a project proposed to be located wholly on an Unincorporated Territory. In this case, a letter issued by a land use planner confirming that the Project Site is located in whole or in part on Unincorporated Territory is required and must be submitted using the Prescribed Form: Confirmation of Unincorporated Territory

Additionally, a support confirmation is not required when a Project Site is located on Crown Land only. In this instance, a Proponent must submit a letter from the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources confirming that a completed Crown Land Site Report Form has been endorsed by the Ministry in respect of the applicable lands (a "MNR Confirmation Letter").

6.3 Will a template be provided for the Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice?

Yes, a sample Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice has been included as part of the Prescribed Form: Evidence of Indigenous Support (for projects located on Indigenous Lands), and the Prescribed Form: Evidence of Municipal Support (for projects located on Municipal Project Lands). Proponents are not required to include the Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice letter as part of Proposal submission. To demonstrate that the Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice was provided to the Local Municipality at

least 60 days prior to Proposal Submission Deadline, and in accordance with Section 4.2(c)(i) of the LT2 e-1 RFP and LT2 c-1 RFP, the Proponent must declare that this requirement has been met in their submitted Prescribed Form: Evidence of Municipal Support, and the Local Municipality must confirm the same as part of the Municipal Support Confirmation.

6.4 Will a previous (draft) version of the Prescribed Form: Evidence of Municipal Support satisfy the requirements of Section. 4.2(c)(iii) of the final LT2(e-1) RFP and LT2(c-1) RFP, as applicable?

There are two inconsistencies between previous (draft) versions of the Prescribed Form: Evidence of Municipal Support and the final version of the Prescribed Form: Evidence of Municipal Support which was posted on June 27, 2025.

Previous (draft) versions of the Prescribed Form: Evidence of Municipal Support make reference to "Section 4.2(b)(iii)" of the LT2(e-1) RFP or LT2(c-1) RFP (as applicable); this will be deemed by the IESO to reference Section 4.2(c)(iii) of the applicable RFP that is the mandatory requirement for Municipal Support Confirmation, and any such inaccurate section reference in the issued resolution by a municipal council will not, on its own, invalidate the resolution or be evaluated as a failure to meet the requirement of Section 4.2(c)(iii) of the final LT2(e-1) RFP or LT2(c-1) RFP, as applicable.

Previous (draft) versions of the Prescribed Form: Evidence of Municipal Support did not make reference to the AIA Component One Requirement. In cases where a Proponent obtained a municipal council resolution utilizing a previous (draft) version of the Prescribed Form: Evidence of Municipal Support *and where the Project Site is located in whole or in part in Prime Agricultural Areas, as reflected in such resolution,* the Proponent must either:

- i. obtain a new resolution from the council using the final form of the template resolution attached as Exhibit A to the Prescribed Form (posted June 27), or;
- ii. include a supplementary resolution from the municipal council stating that the Proponent has satisfied the AIA Component One Requirement (as defined in the LT2(e-1) RFP or LT2(c-1) RFP, as applicable) to the satisfaction of the Local Municipality and include this as part of Proposal submission.

6.5 What is the difference between a Municipal Resolution in Support of Proposal Submission and a Blanket Municipal Support Resolution accompanied by a Blanket MS Confirmation Letter?

A **Municipal Resolution in Support of Proposal Submission** is intended to be a project and procurement specific instrument of support by the applicable Local Municipality(ies).

A **Blanket Municipal Support Resolution** is intended to cover a single or multiple projects for the purposes of the LT2 (e-1/c-1) RFP or other long-term procurement initiative of the IESO that are subsequent to LT2(e-1/c-1) RFP.

In other words, whereas a MRSPS can only be valid for a single project, the blanket resolution may be used to indicate a council's support for energy projects in general (or a specific type of energy project, e.g., solar or wind) and each individual Proposal would then need to be accompanied by the Blanket Municipal Support Resolution and a Blanket MS Confirmation letter signed by the CAO or equivalent indicating support for that particular project.

6.6 Can a Municipality override the requirement that the Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice must be sent no later than 60 days prior to the Proposal Submission Deadline (i.e. can the Municipality support a project if it received the Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice less than 60 days prior to the Proposal Submission Deadline)?

No, per Section 4.2(c)(i) of the LT2(e-1) RFP and LT2(c-1) RFP, delivery of a Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice to the applicable Local Body Administrator dated no later than sixty (60) days prior to the applicable Proposal Submission Deadline is a Mandatory Requirement. Please see question 6.3 for a further discussion of the applicable requirements.

6.7 Does the potential Contract Capacity included as part of the Indigenous Support Confirmation or the Municipal Support Confirmation, as applicable, need to be the same as the Contract Capacity for the Primary Proposal PQ included as part of the Prescribed Form: Proponent Information, Declarations and Workbook?

No, the Contract Capacity included as part of the support confirmation does not need to be the same as the Contract Capacity for the Primary Proposal PQ. However, the Contract Capacity for the Primary Proposal PQ cannot exceed the maximum potential Contract Capacity for the subject Proposal included as part of the support confirmation. For greater clarity, in the event that the Contract Capacity for the Primary Proposal PQ exceeds the maximum potential Contract Capacity in the support confirmation,

even if the Contract Capacity of a Proposal PQ Alternate does not exceed the maximum potential Contract Capacity set out in the support confirmation, the entire Proposal will be rejected.

7. Additional Questions and Feedback Received

7.1 Can Proponents reach out to the Ministry with specific questions related (but not limited) to project siting, project approvals and project permits.

Proponents are welcome and encouraged to reach out early to the appropriate Ministry with specific questions related to their projects.

The Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) can assist with questions about wind, solar and bi-energy projects that trigger Renewable Energy Approval requirements (O. Reg 359/09) at REaprogramdelivery@ontario.ca. For questions about all other types of energy projects that may trigger other MECP approvals/permissions under the EPA, EAA, OWRA, Proponents should write to enviropemissions@ontario.ca.

The Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) can assist with questions about project siting on Crown lands at MNRFRenewableEnergySupport@ontario.ca.

Questions about specific project siting and permits are best directed to the local municipality.

Ministry	Contact Email
Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry	MNRFRenewableEnergySupport@ontario.ca
Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks	REaprogramdeliverability@ontario.ca c.c. Zeljko.Romic@ontario.ca , Kendrick.Doll@ontario.ca
Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Agribusiness	ag.info.omafa@ontario.ca

7.2 Will the IESO allow for price adjustments to proposal prices prior to Commercial Operation Date (COD)?

The IESO will not allow for price adjustments to proposal prices after the LT2 RFP proposal submission deadline or during the period prior to COD under the LT2 Contract. Proponents are expected to submit binding proposal prices (subject to escalation terms to be specified in the LT2 Contract) that best reflect the revenue requirement needed for their projects. Proposal price indexing will begin on the COD to

coincide with the start of electricity generation services. Protections against increases in capital costs between the LT2 Contract award date and COD are the responsibility of the proponent and may be reflected in the submitted Proposal Price.

7.3 Is a Municipality eligible to submit a proposal for the LT2 RFP?

A Municipality (or an entity owned by a municipality) can, subject to any limitations on authority under the *Municipal Act, 2001* (Ontario) or other city-specific applicable legislation or regulation, submit a proposal for the LT2 RFP if it can meet the necessary financial wherewithal and experience (i.e. team member development experience) requirements.

7.4 How will the LT2 RFP be drafted in conjunction with ongoing consultation efforts regarding Ontario Regulation 429/04 amendments related to the treatment of corporate power purchase agreement?

The LT2 RFP is being designed separately from any policy developments associated with enabling corporate power purchase agreements from renewable resources. It should not be expected that a resource will be eligible to be the subject of both an LT2 Contract and any such corporate power purchase agreements, in the same manner as physically behind-the-meter resources participating in the IESO's Industrial Conservation Initiative are not eligible for the LT2 RFP (and were not eligible for the E-LT1 RFP or the LT1 RFP). For further clarity, avoided Global Adjustment charges are also considered a form of ratepayer financial support that must be maintained separately from IESO-funded procurement contracts to ensure fairness and ratepayer value tracking.

7.5 Would a proponent of a prospective wind facility be eligible to use remote data measurement sensing devices such as LiDAR or SoDAR as an alternative to MET towers when evaluating the viability of a potential project site?

The IESO will not take any view towards the wind measurement equipment that Proponents elect to use to support their proposal development as part of the LT2 RFP. The IESO is not proposing to require any resource data or studies as a requirement for the LT2 RFP or LT2 Contract. It will be up to Proponents to ensure they are able to satisfy their contractual obligations and financial considerations based on wind regime/ solar irradiance etc.

7.6 What is the purpose of the IESO LT2 Bank Confirmation Letter posted on the LT2 webpage?

The bank confirmation letter is provided as a resource for Proponents who may require a document which confirms the IESO LT2 RFP bank account details, in order to comply with their internal policies and procedures. It does not change any of the banking information in the LT2(e-1) RFP or LT2(c-1) RFP.

For further clarity, please note, the way the account number is displayed in the "Wire Instructions" section of the IESO LT2 Bank Confirmation Letter is different from how it is displayed in the LT2(e-1) RFP and LT2(c-1) RFP, due to the method of payment being made. For electronic funds transfers, it is common to display the designation number followed by the account number, and for wire transfers, it is common to display the transit number, or a version of it, followed by the account number.

To confirm, the banking information provided in Section 3.7(c)(iv) of the LT2(e-1) RFP and LT2(c-1) RFP remains correct and should be used by Proponents when remitting a Proposal Fee payment.

7.7 Does the IESO provide tax forms to non-Canadian based entities?

The IESO is not in a position to provide non-Canadian tax forms for the purposes related to the LT2 RFP procurements.

However, for non-Canadian entities to confirm the IESO's registered status with the Canada Revenue Agency, we can provide the IESO's GST/HST business number as follows: 870513959RT0001.