

# LT2 RFP Question and Comment Period – Batch 4 (August 28, 2025)

## Questions and Comments

The following document summarizes IESO responses to the fourth batch of questions and comments submitted to the IESO in respect of the final LT2 RFP documents posted on June 27, 2025, that were submitted pursuant to section 3.2(a) of the Long Term 2 Request for Proposals (LT2 RFPs) prior to the Question and Comment Deadline.

### Disclaimer

This document and the information contained herein are provided for information purposes only. The IESO has prepared this document based on information currently available to the IESO and reasonable assumptions associated therewith. The IESO provides no guarantee, representation, or warranty, express or implied, with respect to any statement or information contained herein and disclaims any liability in connection therewith. The IESO undertakes no obligation to revise or update any information contained in this document as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In the event there is any conflict or inconsistency between this document and the IESO market rules, any IESO contract, any legislation or regulation, or any request for proposals or other procurement document, the terms in the market rules, or the subject contract, legislation, regulation, or procurement document, as applicable, govern.

### Defined Terms

Capitalized terms used in the IESO Responses in this document, unless otherwise defined herein have the meaning given to such terms in the LT2(e-1) RFP, LT2(c-1) RFP, LT2(e-1) Contract, and LT2 (c-1) Contract, each as applicable.

## LT2 RFP

Question/Comment	IESO Response
<p>1) Is it possible to pay the registration fee for the LT2(e) RFP by credit card?</p>	<p>As set out in the LT2(e-1) RFP, the Registration Fee must be paid by electronic funds transfer or wire. The RFP does not provide for payment by credit card. Proponents should arrange payment in accordance with the instructions in Section 3.4 of the LT2(e-1) RFP.</p>
<p>2) We wanted to confirm that the proponent name on the pre-engagement notice needs to be the legal entity name. For example, if we are developing a project in XYZ and noted the proponent name as "XYZ Solar LP" on a pre-engagement notice, would that pre-engagement be effectively invalid if we changed the Proponent name to "XYZ Solar Inc." at the time of bid?</p> <p>We are asking this question because we are having ongoing deliberations with respect to structuring as a partnership or a corp.</p>	<p>The Proponent identified in the Proposal must be the same Legal Entity identified in the Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice. If a Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice is submitted under "XYZ Solar LP" but the proposal is later submitted under "XYZ Solar Inc.", this would be considered a different entity and may render the Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice invalid. Proponents are therefore expected to ensure that the entity name on the Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice aligns with the Legal Entity that will ultimately submit the Proposal under the LT2 RFPs and ultimately undertake the community engagement and consultation processes.</p>
<p>3) Is there a timeline, after submitting the registration documents &amp; fee, for when we will receive confirmation of registration and the unique project ID?</p>	<p>There is no prescribed timeline in the LT2 RFPs for the issuance of confirmation of registration and the assignment of a unique project ID following submission of registration documents and the registration fee. The IESO will review each registration submission, and once verified as complete, confirmation and the unique project ID will be provided well in advance of the LT2(e-1) RFP or LT2(c-1) RFP Proposal Submission Deadline, as applicable.</p>
<p>4) We are anticipating submitting bids for several projects into LT2c and have received initial comments from Hydro One regarding potential transmission connections. In each case, Hydro One has suggested that we review these matters</p>	<p>The IESO is unable at this time to arrange individual calls with Proponents to review project locations or address questions outside of the formal Q&amp;C process.</p> <p>To request a pre-submission consultation on connection guidance with the IESO, please</p>

Question/Comment	IESO Response
<p>with the IESO as well.</p> <p>In addition, we have a few other questions for which we would appreciate clarification. Would it be possible to arrange a call with a member of your team to review our proposed locations and address these questions?</p>	<p>follow <a href="#">the Procedure to Request a Consultation</a>, as posted on the <a href="#">LT2 Webpage</a>. Additional information on the IESO's Connection process can be found here: <a href="#">Overview of the Connection Process</a>.</p>
<p>5) Can the "Legal Name of the Proponent" on the RFP Registration Form and RFP Registration Workbook be changed at a later date?</p>	<p>The "Legal Name of the Proponent" provided at registration must reflect the entity that will ultimately be the Proponent in a submitted Proposal and, if selected, the contracting counterparty under the LT2 Contract. While administrative corrections to a submitted Proposal (e.g., to fix manifest clerical errors in submitted materials) may be accepted, a material change in the identity of the registered Proponent (for example, substituting a different legal entity name) is not permitted after the registration deadline. Proponents should ensure that the registered name accurately reflects the intended Proponent and contracting entity at the time of registration.</p>
<p>6) I am currently working on the IESO Long-Term 2 Energy Supply RFP. Of which I have a quick question regarding the Registrant ID relating to the payment of the registration fee; is it ok to abbreviate our name to XYZ from XYZ Power Solutions Development Inc. when submitting payment? Our payment system has a 30-character limit for a Payee ID and at current the full Registrant ID is too long to work in our system; just wanted to make sure this wouldn't cause confusion or disqualify our registration submission?</p>	<p>In order to ensure that the Registration Fee deposited by the Proponent is identified and correctly applied to the applicable registration submission, the electronic funds transfer or wire should include a deposit reference identifier ("Registration ID"). The IESO suggests a Registration ID format of RFP-Proponent Name-Project Name-Year (e.g., LT2(e-1)-ProponentA-Project1-2025) . While the payee/payment system may impose character limits, Proponents should ensure that the payment reference field includes enough identifying detail (e.g., "LT2e1-XYZ Power Solutions Dev Inc-Project1" or "LT2(e-1)-XYZ-Project1") to reasonably connect the payment to the registered entity. Abbreviating to "XYZ" alone may create</p>

Question/Comment	IESO Response
	<p>ambiguity. To avoid delays or potential confusion in reconciling payments, Proponents may use a shortened but still recognizable form of their legal name together with the RFP and Project Name. After making the payment, an email must be sent to LT2.RFP@ieso.ca with a copy to ieso.treasury@ieso.ca with the following information: (a) Proponent name (and if different from depositor, depositor name); (b) Project Name; (c) expected deposit date; and (d) amount of Registration Fee deposited. This will ensure proper attribution of the payment without risk of affecting their registration submission.</p>
<p>7) Alternate Proposals – If submitting alternate proposals, are two separate registration fees required?</p>	<p>Yes. Each Proposal requires a separate Registration Fee, as each will be evaluated independently. To avoid delays or potential confusion in reconciling payments, Proponents are encouraged to make separate payments for each registration fee. (A lump sum payment for multiple registration fees is acceptable but this may cause administrative complexity for which Proponents are responsible.) After making the payment, an email must be sent to LT2.RFP@ieso.ca with a copy to ieso.treasury@ieso.ca with the following information: (a) Proponent name (and if different from depositor, depositor name); (b) Project Name; (c) expected deposit date; and (d) amount of Registration Fee deposited.</p> <p>For clarity, if the question is referring to submitting a single Proposal that may consist of a Primary Proposal PQ and up to two (2) Proposal PQ Alternates, in this case only a single Registration Fee is required.</p>
<p><b>Issue:</b> With respect to the Long Term 2 Capacity Request For Proposals (LT2(c-1) RFP), we direct you to the following definition of Qualifying Project, which states:</p>	<p>The definition of “Qualifying Project” is set out in the LT2(c-1) RFP and was established to ensure consistency with the IESO’s experience evaluating projects and technologies in</p>

Question/Comment	IESO Response
<p>“Qualifying Project” means an Electricity generation or storage facility: (A) with a nameplate capacity of at least 1 MW (measured in alternating current in the case of solar powered generation); and (B) that has achieved commercial operation in any jurisdiction in Canada or the United States of America no more than fifteen (15) years prior to the Proposal Submission Deadline.</p> <p><b>Rationale</b></p> <p>While we take no issue with part (A) of this definition, part (B) gives preference to entities that have deployed in the United States over those that have deployed in other regions, such as the European Union. The standards employed in Europe, particularly France, Germany and Italy, are equivalent, if not higher, than North American electricity standards.</p> <p>The European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity oversees the planning, operational coordination and setting technical network codes for reliability. The EU Agency for the Cooperation of Electricity Regulators helps ensure a fully integrated and well-functioning European energy market, where electricity is “traded and supplied according to the highest integrity and transparency standards, so that EU consumers and businesses can benefit from a wider choice, fair prices and greater protection.”</p> <p>The national transmission system operators in Germany, France and Italy apply ENTSO-E’s standards and apply national guidelines. As such, the IESO can be assured that extending Qualifying Projects to such jurisdictions will capture operators with existing projects in mature, well-functioning and heavily regulated electricity markets. The IESO does not operate in a vacuum and must also take into account geopolitical trends directly affecting</p>	<p>jurisdictions with comparable regulatory, market, and interconnection frameworks to Ontario.</p> <p>The scope of eligible jurisdictions was intentionally limited to Canada and the United States to align with established procurement precedents and to maintain consistency with the IESO’s technical, commercial, and contractual review processes.</p> <p>The IESO acknowledges the stakeholder’s rationale and recognizes the maturity of electricity markets in Europe. However, the current procurement will proceed on the basis of the definition of “Qualifying Project” as issued.</p>

Question/Comment	IESO Response
<p>Ontario. Considering the current political environment with respect to tariff tensions, we believe that broadening the definition of Qualifying Project to include developers and technologies with deployments in Europe is appropriate at this time. This would recognize the positive trading relationship between Ontario and the European Union.</p> <p>Finally, amending the defined term as recommended would allow for more types of storage technologies to be offered to the IESO for consideration in the current LT2(c-1) procurement, ensuring the best price and technology for Ontario ratepayers and a healthy competitive process.</p> <p><b>Recommendation</b></p> <p>As a principle, we believe this broader definition should apply to this and future IESO procurement events, including the Long Lead Time (LLT) procurement.</p>	