

Feedback Form

Long-Term 2 RFP – December 12, 2024

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Jonathan Clifford

Title: Senior Project Manager

Organization: ABO Energy Canada

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: Jan 10, 2025

Following the LT2 RFP December 12, 2024, engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the [LT RFP engagement web page](#).

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please mark "Yes" below:

- Yes – there is confidential information, do not post**
- No – comfortable to publish to the IESO web page**

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by January 10, 2024.

Overview of directive and LT2 updates

Question	Feedback
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do you have any comments for the IESO regarding the proposed targets for the first submission window and/or the range of targets proposed for future windows? 	<p>We are supportive in general of the cadenced approach to procurement. Providing a timeline well in advance is useful and provides more certainty to developers.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do you have any comments for the IESO to consider regarding the proposed timeline for the first submission window? 	<p>We are concerning with the compressed schedule of the LT2 RFP submission. While IESO has indicated it would likely be Q3 2025 for a while now, this would have made more sense if a final RFP and contract were released earlier. The addition of a registration process in June along with an early Q3 RFP submission date is putting a lot of pressure on the industry to finalize proposals. It is unclear what is required for the June registration but depending on requirement, it may force developers to finalize plans in Q2. In addition, some Municipalities have delayed engagement because they want to see final requirements from the IESO. By pushing the RFP/contract finalization dates it is putting pressure on Municipalities to review submissions. This may incentivize them to delay approvals in preference for projects to be submitted in the next window in 2026, rather than making what they view as a rushed decision right now to accommodate developers for the 2025 deadline. Finally, the lack of deliverability information from the IESO is making development decisions difficult, thereby creating higher risk for developers who may otherwise be ready to bid in 2025.</p>

LT2 (c) – High Level Overview of RFP and Contract

Question	Feedback
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do you have any comments for the IESO regarding the newly proposed rated criteria related to duration? 	<p>We are concerned the rated criteria changes are turning what is at face value an “technology agnostic procurement” into a stealth “preference procurement”. We are in general supportive of First Nation partnerships and involvement but it quite clear that other rated criteria are being used to weight some technologies more than others.</p>

LT2(e) and LT2(c) RFPs and Contracts

Question	Feedback
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do you have any comments related to the treatment of support confirmations across windows? 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do you have any comments related to the proposed new requirement for evidencing that a project is on Unincorporated Territory? 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do you have any comments regarding the proposed early COD multiplier? 	

Deliverability Guidance

Question	Feedback
<p>Do you have any comments around the Deliverability for Windowed Approach?</p>	<p>We would like to see more detailed information forthcoming as soon as possible. Any further delays create uncertainty for RFP submission. IESO should consider regular Q1 updates instead of Q2 to allow more planning time for developers if early Q3 RFP submission deadlines are kept.</p>

Question	Feedback
Do you have any general comments you would like to share around the deliverability guidance or test methodology information presented for window 1?	

General Comments/Feedback

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments. It is appreciated.