



Long-Term 2 RFP – October 22, 2024

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Scott Keating.

Title: Chief Executive Officer.

Organization: Trillium Power Wind Corporation.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: November 8, 2024.

Following the LT2 RFP October 22, 2024, engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the [LT RFP engagement web page](#).

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please mark "Yes" below:

- Yes – there is confidential information, do not post**
- No – comfortable to publish to the IESO web page**

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by November 8, 2024.

LT2 RFP Multiple Submission Window Approach

Design Considerations	Feedback
Do you have any feedback regarding the multiple submission window approach being proposed for LT2?	
Specifically: Do you have any comments around the proposed timeline for the first submission window?	
Do you have any comments or information for the IESO to consider in relation to the way that procurement documents will be updated and shared between submission windows?	
Do you have any comments around obtaining Indigenous Support Confirmations and Municipal Support Confirmations across submission windows?	
Do you have any comments around the consideration of overall targets and allocation between submission windows?	It will, of course, be very helpful once you are able to provide an updated target schedule for the 4 yearly windows.
Deliverability	Feedback
Do you have any comments for the IESO to consider regarding deliverability under the new windowed approach?	It seems that deliverability and, indeed, procurement generally are hampered by site availability in the province. Has the IESO pressed or suggested to the minister that there are potential offshore wind sites that would help address this?

Ministry of Natural Resources Presentation:

Renewable Energy on Crown Land Considerations	Feedback
Do you have any comments in relation to the information presented by MNR regarding Crown Land considerations for renewable energy projects?	There was much discussion regarding the AoR and how that may or may not be honoured across the 4 LT2 procurement windows. Can we safely assume that the AoRs being considered in LT2 generally are limited to those "onshore" sites? Given there is a moratorium on "Offshore", we are not yet eligible to to submit an RFP proposal. I.e. please confirm that offshore AoRs will be valid until such time that offshore becomes an eligible proponent once again.

Predevelopment Roadmap	Feedback
Do you have any comments regarding the information presented by MNR regarding the predevelopment roadmap?	

General Comments/Feedback

Thank you for a quite informative session and we are encouraged that the LT2 has been extended and is expected to be a larger procurement over a longer window as this will provide an opportunity for the IESO and the ministries to open dialogue on bringing offshore wind into the RFP in the 2nd window.

We are an offshore wind proponent, which the IESO is quite familiar with, and are wondering, especially given the demand challenges, onshore siting challenges highlighted during this presentation and the large risk profile being managed as our province attempts to support our economic future through energy development, why would a dialogue not be open to look at offshore wind once again?

This question is twofold.

The Moratorium: The moratorium was put in place with the interest in doing more, ostensibly, environmental studies. Some more research has indeed been completed. We would be open to completing more research for the government / IESO should that be required to justify the moratorium being lifted and seen as advantageous. Or has the MoEN & MNR satisfied their needs in this respect?

We would suggest that in addition to studies, if necessary, a moratorium lift should include some protection of the shorelines of the Great Lakes with a distance-from-shore restriction.

We are aware that the IESO indeed suggested 11 TWh in its 2022 path to decarbonization report... Has the IESO suggested to the minister that Offshore Wind ought to be included in the directive being sought for LT2?

We thank you for your consideration and I, together with my team, would welcome any dialogue on how we can help to meet the challenges ahead of us.

I look forward to responses from both the IESO and the ministries.

Scott