

Feedback Form

Long-Term 2 RFP – July 4, 2024

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Aman Maharaj

Title: Senior Developer

Organization: SWEB Development

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: July 19, 2024

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please mark "Confidential".

Following the LT2 RFP July 4, 2024, engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the LT RFP [engagement web page](#).

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by July 19, 2024.

MT2 RFP

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any feedback regarding resource eligibility under the MT2 RFP?	
Do you have any feedback regarding the IESO's proposed method of determining the procurement target for the MT2 RFP?	
Do you have any feedback regarding the contract structure or term length under the MT2 RFP?	
Do you have any feedback regarding capacity qualification for the MT2 RFP?	
Do you have any feedback regarding proposal submission fees and proposal security requirements for the MT2 RFP?	
Do you have any feedback to share regarding the IESO's proposed method of proposal evaluation under the MT2 RFP?	

LT2 RFP Capacity Stream

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any feedback to share regarding the capacity stream under the LT2 RFP?	

LT2 RFP Proposal Evaluation

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any feedback to share regarding the IESO's proposed method of proposal evaluation under the LT2 RFP?	<p>SWEB supports the ability to provide up to three price/size configurations per project bid.</p> <p>SWEB encourages the IESO to share details of the deliverability test methodology as soon as possible.</p>

LT2 RFP Proposal Security

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any feedback to share regarding the proposal security requirements under the LT2 RFP?	SWEB believes that the bid security of \$35,000/MW and minimum security of \$500,000 is too high. The high cost of submission could deter developers from pursuing a bid for LT2.

General Comments/Feedback

Energy Target:

SWEB believes that it would be in the best interest of the proponent community to have the IESO clearly make an energy target for just the energy stream of projects it is looking to procure.

SWEB also believes that the energy target should be set on a GWh basis instead of a MW basis as the amount of generation produced by projects with the same MW nameplate capacity could be vastly different based on technology type, resource type, topography or more. The format of using a GWh target over a MW installed target is seen across other jurisdictions, including, but not limited to, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick

SWEB also reiterates the points from CanRea regarding ease of process, transparency, connection and overall guidance. With more relevant and timely information, developers can maximize their efforts achieve maximum results for themselves and the ISEO.