

# Feedback Form

## Long-Term 2 RFP | Deliverability Guidance Document | April 18, 2024

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Markus Fischer

Title: Associate Director Interconnection

Organization: EDF Renewables Canada Inc. ('EDFR')

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: 02 May 2024

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please mark "Confidential".

Following the LT2 RFP Guidance Document webinar on April 18, 2024, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on the items discussed during the session. The presentation material and recording can be accessed from the [engagement web page](#).

**Please submit feedback to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca) by May 3, 2024.**

## Guidance Document: Readability and Layout

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any advice or feedback on the style, layout and overall readability of the April 2024 Deliverability Guidance Document released by the IESO?	<p data-bbox="805 296 1515 470">EDFR would like to thank the IESO for creating the document and sharing it with the industry. We appreciate the complexity of the topic, and we understand that inputs and assumptions are very dynamic.</p> <p data-bbox="805 506 1515 575">Please see comments below for areas that EDFR believes can be improved.</p>

## Guidance Document: Content

Topic	Feedback
<p>Are there any specific areas of the Deliverability Guidance Document that you would like to provide feedback on from a technical and/or content-specific point of view?</p> <p>If so, please be as specific as possible in your feedback and consider using page numbers and content title where possible to ensure the IESO can consider your feedback accurately</p>	<p>Layering on all restrictions and comparing the results to the anticipated LT2 procurement target suggests that, either there will be many small projects located in the North, and / or that LT2 might not receive enough viable bids.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Could the IESO add scenarios with assumptions for additional network upgrades past 2030 and / or upgrades funded by developers in a next revision of the guidance document?</li> <li>2) By when can the industry expect an update to the document including LT1 projects?</li> <li>3) Can the IESO please publish a map showing at least the circuits listed in Appendix A, so that developers can visualize the restrictions relative to their sites? If that is not possible, can the IESO please explain why?</li> <li>4) Is there a reason why the following circuits are not included in Appendix A, but are mentioned in the core of the document? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• X505P</li> <li>• P502X</li> <li>• X503E</li> <li>• X504E</li> <li>• E510V</li> <li>• E511V</li> <li>• M6E</li> <li>• M7E</li> </ul> </li> <li>5) Is there a mechanism in place (paid service, independent of procurement process, not standard connection process) that developers can use to check viability of their projects pre-bid?</li> </ol>
<p>Do you find the preliminary connection guidance information sufficient for your siting needs? If you feel more information is required, please be specific on what other information you would find useful.</p>	<p>See above</p>

## General Comments/Feedback:

NA