

# Feedback Form

## Long-Term 2 RFP – December 13, 2023

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Dave Moerman

Title: President

Organization: Silvercreek Solar Park Inc

Date: January 9, 2024

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term RFP engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Following the LT2 RFP engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on specific items discussed during the webinar. The webinar presentation and recording can be accessed from the [engagement web page](#).

**Please submit feedback to <mailto:engagement@ieso.ca>** by January 15, 2024. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please mark "Confidential". Feedback that is not marked "Confidential" will be posted on the engagement webpage.

## Resource Adequacy Framework and Cadenced Procurement Approach

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any comments or concerns regarding the cadenced nature between upcoming LT and MT RFPs?	
Do you have any comments or concerns regarding the proposed offering of both capacity style and new revenue model style of contracts, based on resource eligibility requirements and system needs?	
Do you have any concerns regarding the proposed target setting approach for upcoming MT RFPs?	
Do you have any comments regarding how best to employ bridging and extensions to contracts to facilitate the success of the Resource Adequacy Framework?	

## LT2 RFP Resource Eligibility and Timelines

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any general feedback on resource eligibility and timelines?	
If the potential of repowering an existing facility applies to you, would you be interested in exploring this option further?	Yes, But please be aware that there was a 500MW cap set in the Feed in tariff contract that were permitted to be developed on Class 3 lands. The new rules going forward should allow these projects to be repowered to protect them from being alienated. these are projects that have been embraced by the community through meaningful communication, are IESO market participants and are in non-congested area's.

Topic	Feedback
How should the optimal threshold for what constitutes a partial or fully repowered facility be determined and what considerations should be taken into account regarding the repowering of different resource types?	Hybrid facilities is the key, (ie) Solar/ Battery facilities. Repowering existing contracts would be the beneficial in two ways, 1) faster COD as much of the infrastructure and permitting is complete 2) cost savings
What considerations should be taken into account for new-build DERs?	
Please express any interest and opportunities for uprates and/or expansions at any of your existing facilities.	Each project will have unique constraints and advantages when repowering, If the new rules are to stringent, it may limit the opportunities of integrating new technology when repowering.

## LT2 RFP Design Considerations – System Congestion and Deliverability Approach

Topic	Feedback
What early system congestion information do proponents need to guide them in choosing the location of their projects and when is this needed by within the procurement cycle?	
Do you have any general suggestions for how to approach deliverability evaluation in the LT2 RFP?	

## LT2 RFP Design Considerations – General Feedback

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any comments regarding the impacts that agricultural land-use limitations may have on project development?	<p>Yes, allow all previous Class 3 land projects to be apart of the future RFPs, I’m speaking to the 500MW cap of ground mounted solar that was allowed to participate in early Fit contract procurement.</p> <p>Possibly allow more class 3 development were proponents have strong public and municipal support where capacity is available in non conected area’s.</p>

Topic	Feedback
Do you have any comments regarding what evaluation criteria can be utilized to evaluate project readiness, given tight timelines and reliability needs?	
Do you have input on the proposed mechanism for valuing Indigenous participation?	Indigenous participation should be welcomed but not an absolute requirement,
Are there any other rated criteria that should be considered?	

## Long Lead Time Resources

Topic	Feedback
Does the proposed approach to enabling long-lead time resources enable meaningful participation or sufficient certainty?	
What additional considerations should the IESO contemplate for enabling broader participation from long-lead time resources?	

## Revenue Model

Topic	Feedback
As a potential proponent, are you generally supportive of the proposed Enhanced PPA revenue model? Are there any other considerations that the IESO should look into further with regards to the revenue model?	

## General Comments/Feedback