

Feedback Form

Long Lead-Time RFP – October 21, 2025

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Tammy Wong

Title: Senior Market Specialist

Organization: Ontario Power Generation

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: November 10, 2025

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long Lead-Time engagement page unless otherwise requested by the sender.

- ☐ **Yes – there is confidential information, do not post**
☒ **No – comfortable to publish to the IESO web page**

Following the October 21st Long Lead-Time engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from stakeholders on the items discussed. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the [LLT RFP Stakeholder Engagement Webpage](#).

Note: The IESO will accept additional materials where it may be required to support your feedback. When sending additional materials, please indicate if they are confidential.

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by November 4, 2025.

Section 1: LLT Capacity RFP and Contract

Resource Eligibility - Eligible LDES Technologies

Do you have any feedback on the proposed definitions of Eligible LDES Technologies?

Resource Eligibility - Class II Technologies

Do you have any feedback regarding eligible Class II Technologies?

Note - If you are providing suggestions for additional technologies that the IESO should consider, please provide further information, including but not limited to: details related to level of technology readiness, expected project development timelines, permitting pathway, and project lifetime.

Do you have any feedback related to the proposal to procure a maximum of 100 MW from Class II technologies?

Minimum Project Size

Do you have any feedback related to the proposed minimum project size requirement of 50 MW?

Minimum Duration and Rated Criteria

Do you have any feedback regarding the potential use of rated criteria to incentivize longer durations of up to 12 hours?

Do you have any feedback/information to share related to cost impacts associated with increasing duration from 8 to 12 hours to help inform weighting of the rated criterion, should it apply?

Team Member Experience

Do you have any feedback regarding the IESO's proposed changes to the Team Member Experience requirements?

Must-Offer Obligations

Do you have any feedback regarding the IESO's proposals to: (a) expand Qualifying Hours to include additional hours on weekends and holidays and (b) introduce Real-Time Must-Offer Requirements

The IESO is also looking to understand how these changes may impact your proposed project (e.g., cost, operations)?

Contract Capacity

Do you have any feedback regarding the removal of the option to reduce contract capacity?

Do you expect your contract capacity to differ on a monthly or seasonal basis?

Draft Documents

Do you have any feedback to share in relation to the draft RFP and Contract that have been posted to the IESO website?

Section 2: Additional Design Considerations (applicable to both Energy and Capacity streams)

Mid-Term Extended Outages

Do you have any comments on the IESO's proposal related to Mid Term Extended Outages?

Please provide information to support your feedback or any suggested revisions to the proposed approach including, but not limited to, nature, timeline and frequency of expected Mid-Term Extended Outages.

Regulation Service Readiness

Do you have any feedback regarding the proposed Regulation Service Readiness Requirements?

Please provide information potential cost and/or development timeline impacts that would apply to have the facility be "regulation ready" and any other information the IESO should consider when exploring this mandatory requirement/rated criterion.

Optional Termination

Do you have any feedback regarding the IESO's proposed Optional Termination provision and/or the proposed concept of a NTP Milestone Date?

Do you have any feedback/information to share regarding how other jurisdictions manage the uncertainty related to developing long lead time resources?

Environmental Attributes

Do you have any feedback regarding the IESO's proposal related to sharing the benefits from Environmental Attributes during the second half of the term?

Do you have any feedback on alternate approaches to managing the uncertainty associated with the value of Environmental Attributes?

OPG maintains its position that ownership of the Environmental Attributes should remain with the Supplier for the duration of the LLT contract, in alignment with LT2. We believe that introducing a sharing mechanism during the latter half of the 40-year LLT contract term would add unnecessary complexity.

Section 3: General Comments/Feedback

Do you have any additional feedback to share with the IESO?