

Feedback Form

Hybrid Integration Project – June 27, 2022

Feedback Provided by:

Name:

Title:

Organization: Energy Storage Canada

Email:

Date: July 22, 2022

Following the June 27, 2022 posting of the design document entitled “Enabling Foundational Hybrid Facility Models” on the Hybrid Integration Project webpage, the IESO is seeking feedback from participants.

The referenced document can be found under the June 27, 2022 entry on the [Hybrid Integration Project webpage](#).

Please provide feedback by July 22, 2022 to engagement@ieso.ca. Please use subject: *Feedback: Hybrid Integration Project*. To promote transparency, this feedback, if provided in an AODA-compliant format (e.g. using this form) will be posted on the [Hybrid Integration Project webpage](#) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Thank you for your time.

Foundational participation models

Topic	Feedback
Does the design document reflect your understanding of the design decisions presented under this stakeholder engagement initiative?	Yes. In our view, the document is complete and clear.

General Comments/Feedback

ESC is encouraged to see the IESO move forward with the design of a foundational model for hybrid facilities. We believe this will be an important participation model to support resource development and the enhancement of existing generation resources.

Given recent developments, we ask that the IESO consider the following clarifications within the design document:

- On June 3, 2022 the IESO filed IR responses (EB-2022-0002) that announced a delay in the MRP implementation timeframe. Several aspects of the foundational model reference MRP completion (for example, Section 4.3, amongst others). Therefore, we believe the IESO should clarify how it could implement the foundational design in the event of a delay of the MRP in order to maintain the current implementation schedule (e.g., availability for projects planning to be developed as part of upcoming IESO RFP processes).
- On June 14, 2022 Technical Panel rejected the IESO's proposed market rule amendments (MR-00469). As a result, the IESO is not moving forward with changes to capacity qualification (e.g., UCAP). Therefore, we believe the IESO should clarify Section 4.1.1 of the design document to reflect existing market rules.