Feedback Form

Enabling Resources Program (ERP) - Storage and Co-located Hybrid Integration Project

Meeting Date: February 5, 2026

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Ryan Persaud

Title: Market Coordinator

Organization: Ontario Power Generation

Email: [redacted]

Date: February 19, 2026

Following the **February 5, 2026**, webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback on the items discussed during the webinar. The presentation and recording can be accessed from the engagement web page.

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by **February 19, 2026**. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please submit it as a separate document, marked "**Confidential**." Otherwise, to promote transparency, feedback that is not marked "Confidential" will be posted on the engagement webpage.

Design Memo Feedback:

The following tables are intended to capture feedback on the four design memos within Phase 1 Batch 2 of the ERP Storage and Co-located Hybrid Integration project.

Connection and Registration	**Feedback**
Please provide your feedback and any concerns with the concepts outlined in the design memo.	Click or tap here to enter text.
Accurate SoC telemetry is critical for the IESO because the PD and RT engines re-evaluate scheduling and dispatch based on this data. The IESO requires SoC telemetry that is equivalent to MWh available for injection capability – this includes losses from both withdrawals and the anticipated injection losses. This is also equivalent to the RTE outlined in storage and hybrid design memos. Can MPs please confirm that SoC telemetry reflects MWh available for injection capability? If unable to confirm, please provide options on how to ensure relevant SoC data is conveyed to the IESO engines.	Click or tap here to enter text.

Dispatch Data and Other Inputs	**Feedback**
Click or tap here to enter text.	

Dispatch Data and Other Inputs	Feedback
Please provide feedback regarding circumstances where a storage participant would increase their MinSoC due to a derate?	
Is one decimal point sufficient to represent the precision of the following dispatch parameters submitted by a Market Participant: ISoC, CycleDEL, MaxSoC, MinSoC, Internal Service Load (ISL)? E.g. for resources less than <10 MW, would one decimal point be sufficient to represent their ISoC?	
How much do you anticipate your Internal Service load to be for an hour? Do Market Participants see value in their internal service load being included in RT calculations considering updated RT SoC telemetry being utilized every 5 mins? Would the ISL averaged over each of the next 11 intervals be accurate?	Click or tap here to enter text.

Dispatch Data and Other Inputs	Feedback
Please provide feedback on what would happen to internal service load when approaching SoC Min? For example, would a Market Participant: • continue to have this subtract from the SoC, which exceeds SoC Min range? • schedule the resource to compensate to ensure this continued subtraction will not occur beyond their SoC Min limit? • will the ISL stop or be drawn some other way?	

Market Power Mitigation (MPM)	Feedback
Please provide your feedback and any concerns with the concepts outlined in the design memo.	Click or tap here to enter text.

Settlements	Feedback
Please provide your feedback and any concerns with the concepts outlined in the design memo.	Click or tap here to enter text.

General Comments/Feedback

Noting that this may be out-of-scope for this engagement, OPG recommends the IESO to consider sharing charge data with market participants, in addition to the discharge data that is already available through the IESO's GenOutputCapacity report. This would enhance market efficiency, provide additional insights into resource adequacy and improve planning and forecasting.

OPG thanks the IESO for the opportunity to provide feedback on the Enabling Resources Program – Storage and Co-Located Hybrid Integration Project engagement and looks forward to working closely with the IESO on this and future engagements.