

# Feedback Form

## 2026 Provincial eDSM Achievable Potential Study – December 9, 2025

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Janet Taylor

Title: Manager, Sustainability and Strategic Partnerships

Organization: Oshawa Power

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: January 9, 2026

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the "[2026 Provincial eDSM Achievable Potential Study](#)" unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Following the 2026 Provincial eDSM Achievable Potential Study webinar held on December 9, 2025, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) and consultant Cadmus are seeking feedback from stakeholders on the planned methodology, data inputs and sources. Broader feedback is also welcome. The webinar presentation and recording can be accessed from the [engagement web page](#).

**Please submit feedback to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca) by January 9, 2025.** If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please submit as a separate document, marked "Confidential". Otherwise, to promote transparency, feedback that is not marked "Confidential" will be posted on the engagement webpage.

## Data Inputs and Sources



Do you see any gaps in identified data inputs or additional sources we should consider?

Do the assumptions behind the measures<sup>1</sup> seem reasonable and aligned with real-world conditions?

Do you suggest adding/removing any measures?

Yes. The exclusion of wind resources from the scope of this study, although consistent with historical methodologies, should be reconsidered. During the webinar, it was indicated that the exclusion was related to wind resources being considered as “in front of the meter”; however, Oshawa Power has several key accounts that are currently exploring behind the meter (BTM) wind installations, using turbines rated between 25 and 100 kW, to meet their energy and financial goals.

It is expected that BTM wind will expand in Ontario as a result of growing needs from key economic sectors such as indoor agriculture, and/or as a result of growing grid demands from overnight and winter peak loads associated with EV charging and heat pumps. Wind is best suited to addressing these unique generation requirements while maintaining a competitive, low carbon grid.

The new opportunity for generation to be included in eDSM programming should require that wind be explored for either stream 1 or stream 2 implementation.

Oshawa Power has understood that, in order to implement eDSM stream 2 /Non-wires Solutions, LDCs will need to use the standard measures and assumptions list produced by this achievable potential study (APS). Excluding btm wind from the study will limit LDCs and the distributed energy resource asset holders within their grids from using this potentially cost-effective solution, which has unique and useful generation attributes, as noted above. The achievable potential study is the correct mechanism for including small wind, as nuances such as wind profiles can be incorporated into the regional achievability design.

If behind the meter wind remains outside the scope of this study, Oshawa Power recommends that the technology be assigned the same incentive as has been assigned to solar projects of a similar size under the stream 1 eDSM programs. Doing so would allow the free market to determine interest in these

Topic	Feedback
	<p>projects, as opposed to requiring extra work via the APS.</p> <p>Oshawa Power will be providing additional comments in a letter to the minister, which will cover information about how BTM wind aligns with various other Ontario policy objectives.</p> <p>Oshawa Power also has several multi-unit residential customers that are seeking to implement suite metering to promote energy conservation. In the past, there were incentives for metering for certain client bases. Would residential metering qualify for this study, given the large body of evidence that it supports significant conservation outcomes?</p>

## Methodology

Topic	Feedback
Are there any specific comments or suggestions for the methodology?	

## Stakeholder Engagement

Topic	Feedback
Are there any topic areas of particular interest (inputs, assumptions or methods) to stakeholders for engagement?	
Would DR and DER developers be interested in a focused meeting to discuss their insights in more detail?	

---

<sup>1</sup> The full list of measures and assumptions is available in a set of Excel files posted on the [study website](#). We encourage you to review those files for detailed information.

## General Comments/Feedback