

Feedback Form

North of Sudbury Bulk Planning Update Webinar

Feedback Provided by:

Name: Alessia Dawes

Title: Sr. Manager – Transmission Planning

Organization: Hydro One Networks Inc.

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: June 10, 2026

To promote transparency, the submitted feedback will be posted on the South and Central Bulk Plan engagement webpage unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback following the May 20, 2026, presentation of the draft recommendations for North of Sudbury Bulk Plan. A copy of the presentations as well as recordings of the sessions are available on the engagement web page.

Please submit feedback to engagement@ieso.ca by June 10, 2026.

North of Sudbury Bulk Plan - Feedback

The May 20, 2026, webinar focused on the draft North of Sudbury Bulk Plan recommendations as presented. With the plan objectives and scope established, we are seeking feedback on clarity, understanding, and considerations important to note as the plan moves toward finalization.

Question	Feedback
<p>What additional data or context would help provide more clarity and for consideration in the final report?</p>	<p>Bulk Plans are major milestones in developing the future of the Ontario Grid.</p> <p>Specify Need dates and/or recommended in-service dates.</p>
<p>What questions or concerns do you have about the draft recommendations that the IESO might consider in future planning activities?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What does "Future-Ready Development", "advanced early Development" mean with respect to Phase 2 and Phase 3. What scope and timing and costs do IESO envision?
<p>What additional data or local considerations should the IESO be aware of in finalizing the draft recommendations, or for future planning?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a specific Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) metric IESO used as input or criteria in their study? Reducing reliance (arming less often) on RAS as mentioned in the draft recommendation is different than removing RAS actions altogether. <p>Please quantify the statement on slide 43 "Reduce reliance on remedial action scheme"; for example will customers remain subject to load rejection and/or generation rejection after the recommended infrastructure?</p>

General Comments/Feedback

We appreciate the opportunity to review and comment on the Bulk Plans. As we continue developing longer-term plans, it is necessary to start categorizing needs with a more critical view to prioritize outcomes. For example, when there are 5-6 new lines necessary, it would be best to identify Highest Priority work that needs to be expedited immediately (needed within 5-7y), versus Medium Priority (needed 7-10y) and Lower Priority (needed 10y+). IESO can define this prioritization based on their process and categorizing will become useful in meeting affordability challenges and clarifying that High Priority must be undertaken to avoid reliability issues.

Hydro One would support an extension to IESO's study timeline to further refine the recommended solutions. Examples: Double vs. Single circuit lines; 1 vs. 2 autotransformers; Load & generation rejection implication for customers, in-service need dates