

## IESO Stakeholder Engagement

---

**From:** Haider Naveed  
**Sent:** July 11, 2014 9:51 AM  
**To:** IESO Stakeholder Engagement  
**Subject:** RE: Reminder Feedback Due - Outage Management Redesign (SE-109): Next Meeting and Feedback Requested

All,

Please see Hydro One's feedback to the Outage Management Process Redesign meeting below:

1. Feedback on the proposed 1 Day Advance Approval Criteria Validation for the vendor solution ([Slides 10 - 12](#))

**Hydro One's feedback:** These slides describe the type of outages eligible to 1 Day Advance Approval (given that they meet certain criteria). No Hydro One concerns.

2. Feedback on the proposed Final Approval in Advance process and feature for the vendor solution ([Slides 14 - 15](#))

**Hydro One's feedback:** Slides 14-15 describe the outages which will receive Final Approval from the Auto Approval State. Hydro One would still like to see Distribution and Load Equipment added to this list. No other Hydro One concerns.

3. Feedback on proposed Conflict Checking feature for the vendor solution ([Slides 16 - 17](#)).

**Hydro One's feedback:** Will the conflict checking rules rely solely on IESO guidelines? A suggestions would be to include dependencies in this algorithm (if, else, or, and statements) rather than a one on one comparison. For example, if a corridor has two transmission lines, and one is out of service, and the other has a hold off, this should not be a conflict (in Hydro One's opinion)

4. Feedback on the proposed migration strategy for historical, in progress and future outage requests ([Slide 18](#)). Please note that the timeframes presented in the slide deck assume an automatic approach to migration of outage requests. The IESO would not preclude any future outage requests from being manual migrated provided that the market participants are involved in the migration effort.

**Hydro One's feedback:** It should be noted that if the automated migration does not go as planned, the contingency plan is VERY labor intensive for Hydro One.

5. Feedback on including LV capacitors and breaker trip coil tests for Auto Advance Approval (Slide 9)

**Hydro One's feedback:** Hydro One feels breaker trip coil tests should be part of the Auto Advance Approval process, so long as the conflict checking logic ensures a BTCT does not off-load equipment.

Furthermore, it should be noted that not including LV caps in the Auto Advance Approval process will significantly limit the number of "Distribution Equipment" outages which will be eligible for Auto Advance Approvals.

Also, Hydro One is suggesting that these types of outages be deemed low-impact and hence subject to the lead times of 1 Day AA process (allowed to be submitted by 16:00 2 business days out).

Thank you,

**Haider Naveed**

Assistant NMO, Operating Planning

**Hydro One** Networks |