

IESO Stakeholder Engagement

From: Amir Rouhi
Sent: September 18, 2013 12:04 PM
To: IESO Stakeholder Engagement
Cc: Drazic, Daniela
Subject: Re: Feedback Due September 13 - Outage Management Process Redesign (SE-109)

As requested, please find below in **red**, feedback from Portlands Energy Centre on the four questions in the e-mail below. As per my phone conversation with Daniela Drazic on Monday Sept. 16, the IESO will accept these comments even though they are being submitted after the Sept. 13 deadline.

Feel free to contact me for any questions.

Thanks

Amir Rouhi, P. Eng.
Energy Manager
Portlands Energy Centre

From: IESO Stakeholder Engagement
Sent: September-06-13 15:13
To: IESO Stakeholder Engagement
Subject: Feedback Due September 13 - Outage Management Process Redesign (SE-109)

This email is being sent to Outage Management Process Redesign (SE-109) participants
=====

Thank you to those able to participate and provide feedback at the September 4 meeting. The minutes of that meeting will be posted shortly.

As discussed at the meeting, we are seeking further input from the members of the Outage Management Redesign (SE-109) engagement on the Pre-Approval criteria and changes to the proposed Interim Process. Please provide your responses to the following questions **by September, 13 2013** to stakeholder.engagement@ieso.ca. Your feedback will be used in the development of the materials to be discussed at the next stakeholder meeting.

1. Please provide feedback on the changes to the proposed Interim Process that were presented and discussed at the September 4 meeting.

The changing of the submission and approval deadline from 23:59 EST to 16:00 EST was a good move. However 16:00 EST is 5:00 p.m. clock time (during day-light saving time) which in many cases is too late in the day for any meaningful changes to be made in response to an outage rejection. So effectively it is only marginally better than leaving the deadlines at 23:59.

Suggest that the approval deadline be changed to 14:00 EST which would allow for some time during that day to respond to a possible rejection.

The Interim Process has removed the requirement for advanced submission of cancellation cost i.e. under the current market rules cancellation costs are required to be submitted at the time of outage confirmation. We agree with this change. However, to my knowledge there has been no discussion on the submission of “recall” or “deferral” costs. Portlands is seeking clarification on whether these costs will continue to need to be submitted at the time of outage confirmation. Our position is that, like cancellation costs, these costs should no longer be required to be submitted at the time of outage confirmation.

2. Please provide feedback on the proposed Pre-Approval criteria that was presented and discussed at the September 4 meeting.

Would prefer a 30 minute recall time as opposed to the proposed 15 minute recall time. However it appears that the 15 minute recall time is fairly standard.

3. Please provide feedback on how market participants would prefer to be notified of facilities that are excluded from the Pre-Approval process due to a system restriction that may not be readily apparent.

Phone call and e-mail.

4. Please provide feedback on how market participants would prefer to manually confirm an outage for Pre-Approval upon submission of that outage (i.e. phone, electronically via the outage slip etc.).

Electronically via the outage slip.

The presentation from the September 4 meeting that outlines the items discussed with respect to the requested feedback can be found along with all other materials related to this engagement on the Outage Management Process Redesign webpage (http://www.ieso.ca/imoweb/consult/consult_se109.asp) or by clicking [here](#).

Feedback on the meeting minutes from the August 7 meeting will also be accepted until **September, 13 2013**. To review the meeting minutes click [here](#).

Thank you,
IESO Stakeholder Engagement