

Outage Management Process Redesign (SE-109)



Minutes of Meeting

Date held: October 15, 2014	Time held: 9:00 am – 11:00 am	Location held: IESO
Company Name	Attended	Attendance Status (A)ttended; (R) Registered; (S)ubstitute; (TC) Teleconference
Brookfield Renewable Power	Dumoulin, Serge	TC
Bruce Power	Hartel, Michael	TC
Capital Power Corporation	Dewar, Doug	TC
Capital Power Corporation	Ng, Thomas	TC
Gerdau	Simmons, Dave	A
Goreway Station	Baroskov, Juri	TC
Hydro One	Waite, Ed	TC
Hydro One	Ahmed, Eiman	TC
Kingston Generating Stn	Donnelly, Keith	TC
Oakville Hydro	Cybulski, Mark	TC
Ontario Power Generation	Peterson, David	TC
Ontario Power Generation	Qureshy, Sherry	TC
Ontario Power Generation	Shek, Alan	TC
Portlands Energy Centre	Woodward, Tom	TC
ZE PowerGroup Inc.	Ershadi, Ali	TC
IESO	Gojmerac, Mark	A
IESO	Johnson, Len	A
IESO	Romeo, Rick	A
IESO	Satish, Anuradha	A
Scribe: Anuradha Satish, Operations Change Initiatives, IESO		
Please report any corrections, additions or deletions to: stakeholder.engagement@ieso.ca		

All meeting material is available on the IESO web site at:

<http://www.ieso.ca/Pages/Participate/Stakeholder-Engagement/SE-109.aspx>

Item 1 Welcome, Introduction and Opening Remarks

Rick Romeo welcomed the attendees to the Outage Management Process Redesign Consultation (SE-109) meeting.

Item 2 IESO Response to Stakeholder Comments on the August 27 Meeting Materials and Requirements Summary Document

Mark Gojmerac presented feedback received from members and IESO actions resulting from comments on the following: clarification on constraint codes, reporting recommendations, calculation and publishing of reserve margins, options available to API users, rationale for rejections, and clarification on “Remote System ID” and “Operating Security Limit” attributes.

The following are questions that were asked during the presentation by stakeholders along with the IESO’s responses (in italics):

A member asked if the new tool will eliminate the need for IESO to call market participants via telephone to inform them when outages are rejected.

The IESO responded that while the new tool may reduce the need for the IESO to call market participants individually, completely eliminating the need to verbally inform the participant will have to be determined once the exact details of the software feature are understood. The IESO will have an opportunity to review this feature when an early deployment system is delivered in January 2015.

A member asked if additional reason codes will be available when the tool automatically rejects outages.

The IESO responded saying the new software only has one reason code for automated rejections, however any one of the following reason codes are available for manual rejection: Security, Adequacy and Late. These manual rejection codes will also be accompanied by a field where the IESO can enter free-text remarks to provide more clarity to market participants.

A member asked if outages that are marked with the “Late” Reason Code and “No time to assess” comment are rejected or whether the IESO will study them later.

The IESO responded that any outage marked with this code would not be assessed.

A member asked if one organization using the API will be able to see the Remote System ID of other organizations using an API.

The IESO responded yes, depending on the viewing rights that each organization has for the other organization’s equipment.

Item 3 Final Software Design Updates

Mark Gojmerac presented updates on the new ABNO Constraint Code, outage period and profile rules, mandatory equipment descriptions, the optional Telemetry Scaling Impact question, reporting design principles and planning guidelines.

It was reiterated that stakeholder feedback regarding outage management impacts a broader audience and will be shared with the SSR and SAA refresh engagement plans for review. SE-109 participants were encouraged to participate in the SSR and SAA stakeholder engagement process.

The following are questions that were asked during the presentation by stakeholders along with the IESO's responses (in italics):

A member asked whether the telemetry scaling question is available to all market participants or if it impacts only Hydro One?

The IESO responded yes. The flag is available as an option for all market participants. It gives the IESO more visibility on affected RTU quantities.

Item 4 Security and Adequacy Assessment Proposal

Mark Gojmerac presented an overview of the daily, weekly and quarterly reporting proposals with respect to resource adequacy and transmission security. Stakeholders were presented with a high-level scope and calculation process. The reports broadly align with the 18 Month Outlook reports with a commitment towards transparency and proactive approach.

Item 5 Review Action Items and Next Steps

Mark Gojmerac reviewed next steps which included seeking feedback from stakeholders, IESO's response to stakeholder feedback, and the next meeting date of November 26.

The meeting then adjourned.