

# Outage Management Process Redesign (SE-109)



## Minutes of Meeting

Date held: August 27, 2014	Time held: 9:00 am – 11:00 am	Location held: IESO
Company Name	Attended	Attendance Status (A)ttended; (R) Registered
Brighton Beach Power	Little, Dave	R
Capital Power Corporation	Dewar, Doug	R
Capital Power	Ng, Thomas	R
Gerda	Simmons, Dave	A
Goreway Station Partnership	Baroskov, Juri	R
Hydro One	Chayka, Darin	A
Ontario Power Generation	Gray, Jim	R
Ontario Power Generation	Qureshy, Sherry	R
Portlands Energy Centre	Rouhi, Amir	R
Portlands Energy Centre	Woodward, Tom	R
IESO	Chow, Clarence	A
IESO	Duru, Josh	A
IESO	Gojmerac, Mark	A
IESO	Husain, Syed Salman	A
IESO	Romeo, Rick	A
Scribe: Clarence Chow, Operations Change Initiatives, IESO Please report any corrections, additions or deletions to: <a href="mailto:stakeholder.engagement@ieso.ca">stakeholder.engagement@ieso.ca</a>		

All meeting material is available on the IESO web site at:  
<http://www.ieso.ca/Pages/Participate/Stakeholder-Engagement/SE-109.aspx>

### Item 1 Welcome, Introduction and Opening Remarks

Rick Romeo welcomed the attendees to the Outage Management Process Redesign Consultation (SE-109) meeting.

### Item 2 IESO Response to Stakeholder Feedback on the July 30 Meeting Materials

Mark Gojmerac presented feedback received from members and IESO actions resulting from comments on the following: the proposed linking features, shifting of non-continuous outage profiles, and overview of the process redesign.

The following are questions that were asked during the presentation by stakeholders along with the IESO's responses (in italics):

Under the proposed changes to linked outages, a member asked if the IESO would have to be contacted to make changes to outages that were linked according to the predecessor/successor business rules.

*The IESO responded that participants would have to contact the IESO to make changes to linked outages if they violated the business rules (i.e. the IESO would have to disable the link to allow the market participant to make a change).*

*Update – after further discussion with the vendor, the predecessor/successor business rules only apply at the time of outage implementation or completion. For example, if Outage A is a predecessor to Outage B, then Outage B will not be able to be implemented (i.e. have an actual start time applied) until Outage A is completed (i.e. has an actual end time applied).*

### **Item 3 Software Design Updates**

Mark Gojmerac presented updates on the proposed software design. These proposed changes include: changes to outage reporting for Special Protection Systems (SPS), combining the AVR OOS and PSS OOS constraint codes, revised method for reporting Segregated Mode of Operation, and allowing different constraints to be placed on the same equipment.

The following are questions that were asked during the presentation by stakeholders along with the IESO's responses (in italics):

A member asked if the equipment description and market participant comments fields will be provided in the new software solution.

*The IESO responded that these fields will be available for use by the participant.*

### **Item 4 IESO Forecasts and Assessments**

Mark Gojmerac presented an overview of the information provided by current reports for assisting participants and the IESO in outage planning and coordination, including the feedback received from participants in 2013 for developing new reporting requirements. Feedback on redesigning the existing reports was also requested from the SE-109 members. Mark also indicated that this feedback would be used to develop a proposal for IESO reporting obligations at the next SE-109 meeting in October.

A member asked if SE-109 feedback received during the adequacy assessment discussions held between April and June 2014 would be considered in the upcoming proposal.

*The IESO responded yes.*

**Item 5 SE-109 Schedule during Software Implementation**

Mark Gojmerac presented an overview of the proposed SE-109 engagement plan for the software implementation phase.

**Item 6 Review Action Items and Next Steps**

Mark Gojmerac reviewed next steps which included seeking feedback from stakeholders, IESO's response to stakeholder feedback, and the next meeting date of October 15th.

The meeting then adjourned.