

# Demand Response Working Group – *Feedback Form*

Meeting Date: September 4, 2019

<b>Date Submitted:</b> <i>2019/09/11</i>	<b>Feedback provided by (optional):</b> Company Name: Rodan Energy Contact Name: Farhad Quassem Phone: (905) 625-9900 ext:287 Email: <a href="mailto:Farhad.Quassem@rodanenergy.com">Farhad.Quassem@rodanenergy.com</a>
--	---

Following the September 4, 2019 meeting of the Demand Response Working Group (DRWG), the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on questions posed during the presentation. The presentation can be accessed from the DRWG engagement [webpage](#).

Please submit feedback to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca). To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the DRWG engagement webpage unless otherwise requested by the sender.

## **Agenda Item: Cost Recovery for Out-of-Market Activation Payments**

Stakeholders are asked to review and provide feedback on the proposed market rule amendments and market manual concepts, to enable out-of-market activation payments, by **September 11, 2019**.

Please provide comments relating to the section of the draft amendment and concept proposal in the corresponding box below. Please include any views on whether the draft language clearly articulates the requirements for either the IESO or market participants. Please provide any alternative language by inserting the draft language below and red-lining the suggested changes.

MR Chapter	Design Element (MR section)	Stakeholder Comments on Amendments
7	System Operations and Physical Markets	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Agree with proposed amendments</li></ul>
9	Settlements and Billing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Agree with proposed amendments</li></ul>

MM	Design Element (MM section)	Stakeholder Comments on Concepts
----	-----------------------------	----------------------------------

5.5	Physical Markets Settlement Statements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agree with Equation 1 as long as HDRs are allowed to self-schedule tests, otherwise HDRTAPR should be replaced with HDRBPh.</li> <li>• Self-scheduling is permitted, within reason in PJM. PJM DR participants schedule their own tests and must notify PJM of the intent to test 48 hours in advance of the test. DR aggregators can also re-test specific customers if they deliver at least 75% of their obligation in the first test to get closer to 100%.</li> <li>• Agree with Equation 2 for Emergency Activations</li> </ul>
7.3	Outage Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agree with proposed amendments</li> </ul>
12	Capacity Auctions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agree with proposed amendments</li> </ul>
	IESO Charge Types and Equations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agree with proposed amendments</li> </ul>
	Format Specifications for Settlement Statement Files and Data Files	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agree with proposed amendments</li> </ul>

Stakeholder comment is requested on the following IESO directed questions that will be forwarded to Technical Panel for their consideration in the recommendation of market rules to the IESO Board of Directors:

Question	Stakeholder Comment
Do you believe there is a clear and common understanding of the intent and purpose of the draft market rule amendment?	
In your view, is this market rule amendment in the interest of consumers with respect to prices?	
In your view, is this market rule amendment in the interest of consumers with respect to the reliability of electricity service?	
In your view, is this market rule amendment in the interest of consumers with respect to the quality of electricity service?	
In your view, are there any adverse effects (not	The Market rule changes will treat the payment for tests and for EOSC

identified in a previous answer) that may be caused by implementing these proposed changes, either to consumers or market participants?	activations differently, while the costs associated with both curtailments is likely identical. Rodan has recommended that acceptance of \$250/MWh for tests would be palatable but only if the IESO permits self-scheduling of tests, within reasonable parameters, in order to reduce costs for participants.
General Comments:	

**Other Agenda Items:** Feedback on all other agenda items is requested in the form below.

Agenda Item	Question/Topic	Stakeholder Feedback
<b>Estimates of Demand Response Potential in Ontario</b>	<p><b>Please provide feedback by September 11, 2019</b></p> <p>DRWG members interested in participating in a one-on-one meeting with the IESO to discuss demand response potential are asked to identify their interest.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rodan is interested in a one-on-one meeting with the IESO to discuss DR potential</li> </ul>
<b>HDR Resource Testing - Proposal</b>	<p><b>Please provide feedback by September 18, 2019</b></p> <p>Seeking stakeholder feedback on the proposal</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rodan will respond to this section by September 18</li> </ul>
<b>M&amp;V/ Data Submission - Proposal</b>	<p><b>Please provide feedback by September 18, 2019</b></p> <p>Seeking stakeholder feedback on the proposal</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rodan will respond to this section by September 18</li> </ul>
<b>Other Comments/Feedback:</b>		

