## Member Vote and Rationale – Market Renewal Program: Settlements Batch

## IESO Technical Panel, June 13, 2023

The vote to provisionally recommend the proposed market rule amendments (MR-00452-R00; MR-00456-R00-R03; MR-00461-R04) for consideration to the IESO Board of Directors passed unanimously at the June 13, 2023, Technical Panel meeting.

## MR-00452-R00; MR-00456-R00-R03; MR-00461-R04 MR-00476-R00 – Market Renewal Program: Settlements Batch

TP Member	Vote and/or Rationale
Nick Papanicolaou	For
(Consumer)	
Indra Maharjan	For
(Consumer)	
Forrest Pengra	For
(Residential Consumer)	
Emma Coyle	For
(Generator)	
Jason Chee-Aloy	For
(Renewable Generators)	



Jennifer Jayapalan (Energy Storage)	I provisionally recommend the proposed amendments. While the MRP Settlements Batch has been challenging to review due to the volume and complexity of materials, the IESO has worked to provide examples and details of various settlement scenarios. One of the larger challenges with reviewing the MRP Settlements Batch in relation to energy storage is limited experience and understanding within both the community and the IESO in how larger scale energy storage will be scheduled under market renewal. Based on MRP and the existing interim storage rules, the amendments make sense, however my provisional recommendation is based on the understanding that the rules will be revisited and reviewed thoroughly once scheduling and operation of larger scale energy storage
	<b>U</b> ,

Vlad Urukov (Generator)	For
Robert Reinmuller (Transmitters)	For
Rob Coulbeck (Retailers or Wholesalers)	For
Dave Forsyth (Consumer)	For
Ron Collins (Energy Related Businesses and Services)	For
Sarah Griffiths (Demand Response)	For

TP Member	Vote and/or Rationale
Joe Saunders (Distributor)	I voted in favour of the motion to provisionally recommend the proposed market rule amendments MR-00452-R00, MR- 00456-R00, R01, R02, R03, and MR-00461-R04 to the IESO Board for provisional approval at its August 30, 2023 meeting. The LDC's have concerns regarding the June 2025 go-live date, specifically, concerns regarding the potential to create a bottleneck in implementation at the LDC level, as well as the OEB work plan. The EDA/LDC's have questions raised from their submission on settlements to the IESO which have not been fully addressed. As this was a vote to provisionally recommend, it allows the EDA/IESO time to address outstanding settlement issues including charge type mapping with a final vote on MRP Settlements to be taken before implementation.
David Short (IESO)	For