# Feedback Form

## Long-Term RFP – March 10, 2022

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Title: Click or tap here to enter text.

Organization: Click or tap here to enter text.

Email: Click or tap here to enter text.

Date: Click or tap here to enter text.

Following the March 10th public webinar on the Long-Term RFP, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback from participants on a variety of elements to help further inform the draft RFP and Contract, including: term length, revenue streams, deliverability process and Draft RFQ.

The referenced presentation can be found on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](https://www.ieso.ca/en/Sector-Participants/Engagement-Initiatives/Engagements/Long-Term-RFP).

**Please provide feedback by March 17, 2022 to** **engagement@ieso.ca****.**

Please use subject header: ***Long-Term RFP***. To promote transparency, this feedback will be posted on the [Long-Term RFP webpage](https://www.ieso.ca/en/Sector-Participants/Engagement-Initiatives/Engagements/Long-Term-RFP) unless otherwise requested by the sender.

The IESO will work to consider and incorporate comments as appropriate and post responses on the webpage.

Thank you for your contribution.

### Term Length

| Topic | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Does the revised, 15-year term length provide stakeholders with sufficient certainty for project financing and development?  |  |

### Revenue Streams

| Topic | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Are stakeholders supportive of the high level approach for additional revenue streams, discussed in slides 26-28?  |  |
| Does an option with a capacity payment and energy market hedge provide stakeholders with sufficient certainty?  |  |
| Do stakeholders believe that the high level revenue stream option supports efficient market operation? Are there additional considerations that could help support energy market efficiency?  |  |

### Deliverability Process

| Topic | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Do stakeholders have any comments on the deliverability process laid out on slides 34-36?  |  |
| Does the general timing of the proposed deliverability process (i.e., a deliverability assessment window prior to proposal submission) provide stakeholders with enough clarity on the deliverability of their proposed project? |  |

### Draft RFQ

| Topic | Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Do stakeholders have any general comments on the draft RFQ as discussed on slides 37-46? *Please note that specific draft RFQ feedback is requested on the feedback form sent alongside the draft RFQ on February 28.*  |  |

### General Comments/Feedback