

# Feedback Form

## Long-Term RFP Community Engagement: September 20, 2022

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Mike Hentz

Title: Deputy Mayor

Organization: Municipality of Dutton Dunwich

Email: [REDACTED]

Date: October 3, 2022

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the Long-Term RFP Community Engagement Webpage unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Following the Long-Term RFP Community Engagement discussion session held on September 20, 2022, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback as outlined during the presentation from municipalities. A copy of the presentation as well as a recording of the session that includes an overview of the feedback request, can be accessed from the [engagement webpage](#).

**Please submit feedback to [engagement@ieso.ca](mailto:engagement@ieso.ca) by October 7, 2022.** If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please submit as a separate document, marked "Confidential". Otherwise, to promote transparency, feedback that is not marked "Confidential" will be posted on the engagement webpage.

# Proposed Community Engagement Requirements

Topic	Feedback
<p>Are there any suggested modifications to be considered in the draft Community Engagement Requirements – which can be found in the <a href="#">“Draft E-LT1 Prescribed Form: Community Engagement Requirements”</a>? This document, along with all the other draft prescribed forms are now available on the <a href="#">IESO’s Long-Term RFP and Expedited Process</a> webpage. Please refer to slide 14 of the September 20 <a href="#">presentation</a> for more details.</p> <p>Does this approach present any opportunities or challenges to municipalities?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There should be a process to deal directly with individual community concerns as each community may present various identified conflicting opportunities or challenges.</li> <li>• Holding a meeting does not guarantee issues are being heard or addressed, therefore this format is not a mutually beneficial process.</li> <li>• The Community Engagement Plan essentially presents an opportunity for the proponent to promote their business agenda only.</li> </ul>

# Proposed Municipal Council Support Resolution

Topic	Feedback
<p>Are there any updates or modifications to be considered in the draft Municipal Council Support Resolution – which can be found in the <a href="#">“Draft E-LT1 Prescribed Form: Evidence of Municipal Support”</a>? This document, along with all the other draft prescribed forms are now available on the <a href="#">IESO’s Long-Term RFP and Expedited Process</a> webpage. Please refer to slides 12, 13 of the September 20 <a href="#">presentation</a> for more details.</p> <p>Does this approach present any opportunities or challenges to municipalities?</p> <p>How does the timing and concept of a support resolution align with other existing municipal decision-making processes?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Ford Government stated that Municipalities would be eligible to weigh in on all new projects and give final approval to proceed or not.</li> <li>• This RFP Community Engagement as presented, historically reflects the exact process that was defined in the Green Energy Act t, 2009, S.O. 2009, c. 12, Sched. A., which was subsequently repealed on January 1, 2019, to stop approvals for wasteful energy projects where the need for electricity has not been clearly demonstrated; essentially putting the brakes on additional projects that will add additional costs to electricity bills that the people of Ontario simply cannot afford.</li> <li>• Using a point system and allowing Proponents to submit without the support of council resolutions will reignite historical community unrest and refuel citizen conflict again. A fair, equitable and democratic engagement process needs to be implemented.</li> <li>• Proponents should have Municipal support by resolution prior to any proposal being submitted.</li> </ul>

# Guidance Materials for Municipalities

Topic	Feedback
<p>What other specific information can the IESO include in the guidance municipalities may require? Please refer to slides 20 - 23 of the September 20 <a href="#">presentation</a> for more details.</p> <p>How can this information be best delivered to municipal audiences?</p> <p>What information do municipalities need as they process requests from proponents and/or inform constituents within your communities?</p>	<p>Municipalities need to be informed and consulted during the entire process, and prior to any proposal being submitted.</p>

## General Comments/Feedback:

As previously explained I do not see any difference in this process compared to the Green Energy Act. Smaller rural areas, such as Dutton Dunwich need our citizens to collectively work together and move forward as a single entity in order to ensure any projects are to be approved in our area. Our community was negatively impacted and disastrously fragmented by past proposals.

In alignment with our Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Policy, Indigenous support from First Nation communities in the area need to be made a priority.