

DRAFT SCORECARD Inputs: Industrial Accelerator Program

Mid-term Review - Deliverable 1

Reporting Structure:

High-level Organization: Separate CFF and IAP

Note: Report by sector and region (and LDC) where available

Key Details:

Risk Meter: H/M/L (In Green/Yellow/Red) to be included in final scorecard design

Quality measure

		Comparables from 2011-2014 Framework	Comparables from Other Jurisdictions Available (Y/N)
Framework Element	Key Metrics		
1. Budgets, Targets and Cost Effectiveness	Total Savings (to-date)	Y	N
	Total Savings with persistence	Y	N
	Program Cost-effectiveness on an initiative by initiative basis	Y (IAP process and systems only)	N
	Actual savings vs. forecast by quarter	Y	N
	Demand Savings (end-user level)	Y	N
	Total Budget Spent (to-date) and percent of total	Y	N
	Total Budget Allocated (to-date)	Y	N
2. Customer and Market Engagement and Satisfaction	Customer Satisfaction Scores	Y	Y
	Brand awareness metric	N	Y
	Brand reputation metrics	N	Y
	Market share (# of eligible customers that have participated; # of projects)	Y	Y
	% of eligible customers with 1+ energy managers	N	TBD
3. Governance and Operations	% administrative vs. incentives in program costs	Y	Y
	IESO review times for projects	Y	Y
	Cost reimbursement processing metrics (time for IESO payments to customers)	Y	N
5. Collaboration (IESO/Gas Utilities)	# of collaborative initiatives between IESO and gas utilities	Y	Y
6. Planning Integration	Average effective useful life of measure by initiative	Y	Y
	% of target achieved by projects that deliver system value at peak times	Y	Y
7. Climate Change Objectives	GHG Potential for IAP [how to measure?]	N	N
	GHG reductions/kWh saved vs. GHG reductions/peak kWh by LDC	N	N
	GHG, Gigajoule, kWh/\$ spent	N	N
	% of savings from natural gas behind the meter generation (BMG)	Y	Y