# Feedback Form

## Capacity Auction Enhancements – September 20, 2023

### Feedback Provided by:

Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Title: Click or tap here to enter text.

Organization: Click or tap here to enter text.

Email: Click or tap here to enter text.

Date: Click or tap here to enter text.

To promote transparency, feedback submitted will be posted on the [Capacity Auction Enhancements](https://www.ieso.ca/en/Sector-Participants/Engagement-Initiatives/Engagements/Capacity-Auction-Enhancements) web page unless otherwise requested by the sender.

Following the September 20, 2023, engagement webinar, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is seeking feedback on a draft objective statement, lessons learned from the previous Capacity Auction Enhancements engagement, and recent stakeholder enhancement suggestions. The webinar presentation and recording can be accessed from the [engagement webpage](https://www.ieso.ca/en/Sector-Participants/Engagement-Initiatives/Engagements/Capacity-Auction-Enhancements).

**Please submit feedback to** engagement@ieso.ca by **October 4, 2023**. If you wish to provide confidential feedback, please submit as a separate document, marked “Confidential”. Otherwise, to promote transparency, feedback that is not marked “Confidential” will be posted on the engagement webpage.

### 1) Draft Enhancements Objective Statement

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Does the statement reflect the value that the Capacity Auction contributes to the [Resource Adequacy Framework](https://www.ieso.ca/en/Sector-Participants/Planning-and-Forecasting/Resource-Adequacy-Framework) and IESO-Administered Markets? |  |
| Will the objective make clear what future enhancements should be prioritized and how they can contribute to the Capacity Auction's success? |  |

### 2) 2023 Lessons Learned

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| Do stakeholders have any other lessons learned from the 2023 Enhancements process? If so please list them and elaborate. |  |

### 3) Recent Stakeholder Enhancement Suggestions

#### A) Review of audit parameters/process

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### B) Review of reference technology that is the basis of the Reference Price

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### C) Understanding how import and virtual zonal limits are determined

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### D) Consider reducing dispatch test to one per obligation period

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### E) Evaluate the benefits of enabling monthly buyouts

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### F) Review of 4-hour duration requirement for energy storage

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### G) Benefits of enabling a weather-sensitive resource class and/or moving to four seasonal obligation periods to more accurately value HVAC load contributions

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### H) Provide more flexibility options for participants to manage/adjust commitments

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### I) Enable HDR participants to register more than one resource per zone

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### J) Additional review of in-day adjustment factor in baseline methodology

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### K) Reduce minimum resource requirement to less than 1 MW

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### L) Introduce performance-based incentives

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### M) Utilize resource-specific data to determine EFORd for storage resources

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### N) Include loss factors in UCAP methodology for demand response resources

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### O) Various suggestions that increase scope of contributor management process

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

#### P) Review of HDR standby trigger process

| Question | Stakeholder Feedback |
| --- | --- |
| How would this enhancement improve CA participant and ratepayer outcomes?  |  |
| Would the benefits of this enhancement be quantifiable (e.g., improved resource performance, reliability, ratepayer value, etc.)? If so, please elaborate. |  |
| Please indicate and elaborate on which of the following categories best describes how this change would enhance the Capacity Auction: *competition, reliability, transparency, accessibility, administrative efficiency, resource performance, resource diversity, accuracy, general/other*. |  |

### General Comments/Feedback