

IESO Engagement

From: Divya Iyer
Sent: April 05, 2018 4:19 PM
To: IESO Engagement
Cc: Benjamin Grunfeld; Craig Sabine; Bala Krishnamoorthy; Peter Steele-Mosey
Subject: RE: IESO 2019 Achievable Potential Study Questions

Is it possible to add one more question to the list I sent out yesterday? This is regarding the definition of persistence with regard to the potential study. Do you define persistence as re-participation? I know that this is coming in late but it will be great if you can accommodate this request.

Thank you,

[DIVYA IYER](#) | Managing Consultant | Energy

Navigant

Bay Adelaide Centre | 333 Bay Street | Suite 1250 | Toronto, ON M5H 2R2 | Canada

www.navigant.com

From: Divya Iyer
Sent: April 04, 2018 9:31 PM
To: IESO Engagement
Subject: IESO 2019 Achievable Potential Study Questions

Hi IESO APS Project Team,

Please see the questions below on the scope of the APS project:

1. Could you give us an idea on the sources of data that can be provided to the client for this study (e.g. electric load forecasts by IESO zone, gas load forecasts, provincial electric and gas end use surveys, etc.)
2. Under "Task 3: Reference Forecasts", could you clarify "removing the impact of existing and planned future codes and standards"? Are the IESO and OEB interested in a base case reference forecast **without** the effect of C&S included, and the effect of C&S is layered on top of the base case reference forecast?
3. Can you describe the stakeholder review cycle with respect to review structure and timeline? Will the review cycle flow from Consultant -> Project Team -> Advisory Group -> Public Engagement? Is there a stipulated time (1-2 weeks) for stakeholder review?
4. Does the measure list comprise of energy efficiency and behavioral measures only? Will the measure list include fuel switching measures?
5. What are the key study milestones? E.g.: Final Measure List, Final Market Data, Final Measure Specific Data by region, end-use, sector and sub-sector, etc.
6. Can you further elaborate on the functions and objectives of the turnkey model? Are you considering the ability to define and test new scenarios or just an outputs model that will support user friendly analysis of existing results (a set of static results)?

Also, please add me to the 2019 APS email distribution list. I understand that the responses will be posted on the APS engagement webpage. It will be great if you can share the link of the forum where these responses are posted.

Thank you!

Thank you,

DIVYA IYER | Managing Consultant | Energy

Navigant

Bay Adelaide Centre | 333 Bay Street | Suite 1250 | Toronto, ON M5H 2R2 | Canada

www.navigant.com